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#### Abstract

The goal of the present paper is to calculate the determinant of the Dirac operator with a mass in the cylindrical geometry. The domain of this operator consists of functions that realize a unitary one-dimensional representation of the fundamental group of the cylinder with $n$ marked points. The determinant represents a version of the isomonodromic $\tau$-function, introduced by M. Sato, T. Miwa and M. Jimbo. It is calculated by comparison of two sections of the det*-bundle over an infinite-dimensional grassmannian. The latter is composed of the spaces of boundary values of some local solutions to the Dirac equation. The principal ingredients of the computation are the formulae for the Green function of the singular Dirac operator and for the so-called canonical basis of global solutions on the 1-punctured cylinder. We also derive a set of deformation equations satisfied by the expansion coefficients of the canonical basis in the general case and find a more explicit expression for the $\tau$-function in the simplest case $n=2$.


## 1. Introduction

The main objective of quantum field theory is the calculation of correlation functions of local operators, usually represented via functional integrals

$$
\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \ldots \mathcal{O}_{n}\left(x_{n}\right)\right\rangle=\frac{\int \mathcal{D} \varphi \mathcal{O}_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \ldots \mathcal{O}_{n}\left(x_{n}\right) e^{S[\varphi]}}{\int \mathcal{D} \varphi e^{S[\varphi]}}
$$

For a generic interacting QFT such calculation can be done only by means of perturbation theory. However, in two dimensions there is an interesting way to construct an interacting theory from the free one. Let us consider the action of free massive Dirac fermions in the flat spacetime,

$$
S[\psi, \bar{\psi}]=\int d^{2} x \bar{\psi} D \psi
$$

Correlation functions of the (interacting) monodromy fields are defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathcal{O}^{\lambda_{1}}\left(a_{1}\right) \ldots \mathcal{O}^{\lambda_{n}}\left(a_{n}\right)\right\rangle=\frac{\int \mathcal{D} \psi \mathcal{D} \bar{\psi} e^{\int d^{2} x \bar{\psi} D^{a, \lambda^{\lambda}} \psi}}{\int \mathcal{D} \psi \mathcal{D} \bar{\psi} e^{\int d^{2} x \bar{\psi} D \psi}} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integration in the numerator is performed over field configurations that are branched at the points $a_{\nu}$ with the monodromies $e^{2 \pi i \lambda_{v}}(v=1, \ldots, n)$. This change of domain of the Dirac operator is symbolically reflected by indexing $D^{a, \lambda}$. The integrals in (1.1) can be formally evaluated to the determinants of the corresponding operators,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathcal{O}^{\lambda_{1}}\left(a_{1}\right) \ldots \mathcal{O}^{\lambda_{n}}\left(a_{n}\right)\right\rangle=\frac{\operatorname{det} D^{a, \lambda}}{\operatorname{det} D} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note, however, that the RHSs of both (1.1) and (1.2) are equally ill-defined quantities.
The determinants of Dirac operators on compact manifolds are usually determined via the $\zeta$-function regularization. Starting from [16], they have been extensively studied in the mathematical literature. The massless Dirac operators on Riemann surfaces deserve special attention, as multiloop contributions to the partition function in the string theory are expressed through their determinants (rigorously defined by D. Quillen in [15]). These determinants can be thought of as the functions on the moduli space of complex structures on the surface.

In the case we are interested in the Dirac operator is defined not on a compact manifold, but on the universal covering of a surface with marked points, the determinant being the function of their positions. The problem of rigorous definition of the determinant and the Green function for the Dirac operator with branching points on the Euclidean plane was solved by Palmer in [10]. His work relies heavily on the analysis of monodromy preserving deformations for the Dirac operator, developed earlier by Sato, Miwa and Jimbo [17]. More precisely, Palmer's determinant represents another version of the SMJ $\tau$-function. Its logarithmic derivatives with respect to the coordinates of branching points are expressed via the expansion coefficients of some special solutions to the Dirac equation, that can be constructed from the so-called canonical basis of solutions. The theory of isomonodromic deformations gives a set of nonlinear differential equations satisfied by these expansion coefficients. Moreover, in the simplest case $n=2$ an explicit formula for the determinant was found [10].

Later similar results were obtained for the Dirac operator on the Poincaré disk [8, $12,13]$. In this connection we should also mention the recent work of Doyon [5], where the two-point correlation function of monodromy fields in the hyperbolic geometry was calculated by field-theoretic methods.

In the present paper, we define and calculate the determinant of the massive Dirac operator in cylindrical geometry. The latter corresponds to QFT in the finite volume or at non-zero temperature. This work was inspired by recent progress in the study of the Ising model - calculation of finite-size correlation functions [1, 2], spin matrix elements [3] and direct derivation of the differential equations satisfied by the two-point correlator in the continuum limit [7]. The Ising model is related to the above theory with special monodromy $\lambda_{\nu}= \pm \frac{1}{2}$.

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section we introduce the canonical basis of global solutions to the Dirac equation on the cylinder and calculate it explicitly for $n=1$ (see Theorem 2.3). All subsequent computations are based on these formulae. The Green function of the singular Dirac operator is defined in Sect. 3. Its derivatives
with respect to the coordinates of branching points are expressed through some solutions to the Dirac equation and have remarkable factorized form (formulae (3.23) and (3.24)). At the end of the section, the Green function on the 1-punctured cylinder is computed (see (3.29)-(3.30)). Section 4 is devoted to the definition and calculation of the $\tau$-function. We introduce the det*-bundle over an infinite-dimensional grassmannian that consists of the spaces of boundary values of some local solutions to the Dirac equation. The $\tau$-function is obtained by comparison of the canonical section of this det*-bundle with a section that is constructed using the one-point Green functions. The logarithmic derivatives of the $\tau$-function can also be written in terms of the expansion coefficients of the solutions (3.20). This shows that it is independent of the chosen localization. As an illustration, we find a more explicit expression for the $\tau$-function when $n=2$ (formula (4.25)). Finally, in Sect. 5 a set of deformation equations for the expansion coefficients is derived. We conclude with a brief discussion of possible generalizations, open problems and application of obtained results in quantum field theory at non-zero temperature.

## 2. Canonical Basis of Solutions to Dirac Equation

2.1. Definitions. Let $a=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ be a collection of $n$ distinct points on the cylin$\operatorname{der} \mathcal{C}$. The fundamental group $\pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{C} \backslash a ; x_{0}\right)$ is generated by homotopy classes of $n+1$ loops $\gamma_{0}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}$ shown in Fig. 1. It acts on the universal covering $\mathcal{C} \backslash a$ by deck transformations. Let us fix a one-dimensional unitary representation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho_{\lambda}: \pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{C} \backslash a ; x_{0}\right) \rightarrow U(1), \quad\left[\gamma_{\nu}\right] \mapsto e^{-2 \pi i \lambda_{\nu}}, \quad v=0, \ldots, n,  \tag{2.1}\\
& \lambda_{0} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \lambda_{\nu} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash \mathbb{Z}, \quad v=1, \ldots, n .
\end{align*}
$$

As usual, we replace the cylinder by the strip $S=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: 0 \leq y \leq \beta\right\}$ whose upper and lower edges are identified. The Dirac operator on $\mathcal{C} \backslash a$ is induced by the Dirac operator on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, which can be written as

$$
D=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{m}{2} & -\partial_{z}  \tag{2.2}\\
-\partial_{\bar{z}} & \frac{m}{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $z, \bar{z}$ — standard complex coordinates

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ z = x + i y , } \\
{ \overline { z } = x - i y , }
\end{array} \quad \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{z}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{x}-i \partial_{y}\right), \\
\partial_{\bar{z}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{x}+i \partial_{y}\right) .
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$
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We are looking for multivalued solutions $\tilde{\psi}: \widetilde{\mathcal{C} \backslash a} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2}$ to Dirac equation that transform according to the representation (2.1),

$$
D \tilde{\psi}(x)=0, \quad \tilde{\psi}(\gamma x)=\rho_{\lambda}([\gamma]) \cdot \tilde{\psi}(x)
$$

This problem can be reformulated as follows. Fix a system of branchcuts $b=$ $\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n} ; d_{0}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ shown in Fig. 2 and consider the solutions to Dirac equation on $\mathcal{C} \backslash b$ that can be continued across the branchcuts away from the points $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$. The solutions we are interested in have left and right continuations across $b_{\nu}$ that differ by the factor $e^{2 \pi i \lambda_{\nu}}(\nu=1, \ldots, n)$. The continuations across $d_{v}$ differ by $\exp \left(2 \pi i \sum_{k=0}^{\nu} \lambda_{k}\right)$, $\nu=0, \ldots, n$.

To describe the local behaviour of such solutions in the neighbourhood of the point $a_{\nu}$, consider an open disk $B$ of sufficiently small but finite radius, centered at $a_{\nu}$, and introduce in $B$ polar coordinates

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ r = | z - a _ { \nu } | ^ { 1 / 2 } , } \\
{ \varphi = \frac { 1 } { 2 i } \operatorname { l n } \frac { z - a _ { \nu } } { \overline { z } - \overline { a } _ { \nu } } , }
\end{array} \quad \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{z}=\frac{1}{2} e^{-i \varphi}\left(\partial_{r}-\frac{i}{r} \partial_{\varphi}\right), \\
\partial_{\bar{z}}=\frac{1}{2} e^{i \varphi}\left(\partial_{r}+\frac{i}{r} \partial_{\varphi}\right) .
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

The local form of the Dirac operator on $B$ is then

$$
D=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
m & -e^{-i \varphi}\left(\partial_{r}-\frac{i}{r} \partial_{\varphi}\right) \\
-e^{i \varphi}\left(\partial_{r}+\frac{i}{r} \partial_{\varphi}\right) & m
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Since for any multivalued solution $\psi$ the function $e^{-i \lambda_{\nu} \varphi} \psi$ is single-valued on $B$, it can be expanded in Fourier series. Substituting the series into Dirac equation, one obtains [17]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left[a_{\nu}\right]=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}}\left\{a_{k} w_{k+\lambda_{\nu}}\left[a_{\nu}\right]+b_{k} w_{k-\lambda_{\nu}}^{*}\left[a_{\nu}\right]\right\} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$
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where

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{l}\left[a_{\nu}\right]=\binom{e^{i(l-1 / 2) \varphi} I_{l-1 / 2}(m r)}{e^{i(l+1 / 2) \varphi} I_{l+1 / 2}(m r)}, \quad w_{l}^{*}\left[a_{\nu}\right]=\binom{e^{-i(l+1 / 2) \varphi} I_{l+1 / 2}(m r)}{e^{-i(l-1 / 2) \varphi} I_{l-1 / 2}(m r)}, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $I_{l}(x)$ is the modified Bessel function of the first kind.
To obtain some kind of regularity, we put certain conditions on the singular behaviour of the function $\psi$ at the point $a_{\nu}$. There two essential types of constraints:

- Let $0<\lambda_{v}<1$ and require $\psi$ to be square integrable in the neighborhood of $a_{v}$. When $|z| \rightarrow a_{\nu}$, the asymptotics of special solutions has the form

$$
w_{l}\left[a_{v}\right] \sim\binom{\frac{\left(m\left(z-a_{v}\right) / 2\right)^{l-\frac{1}{2}}}{\left(l-\frac{1}{2}\right)!}}{\frac{\left(m\left(z-a_{v}\right) / 2\right)^{l+\frac{1}{2}}}{\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)!}}+\ldots, \quad w_{l}^{*}\left[a_{v}\right] \sim\binom{\frac{\left(m\left(\bar{z}-\bar{a}_{v}\right) / 2\right)^{l+\frac{1}{2}}}{\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)!}}{\frac{\left(m\left(\bar{z}-\bar{a}_{v}\right) / 2\right)^{l-\frac{1}{2}}}{\left(l-\frac{1}{2}\right)!}}+\ldots
$$

where factorials are understood as $l!=\Gamma(l+1)$. Then to satisfy the condition of square integrability, a part of coefficients in (2.3) must vanish,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left[a_{\nu}\right]=a_{-1 / 2} w_{-1 / 2+\lambda_{\nu}}\left[a_{\nu}\right]+\sum_{k>0}\left\{a_{k} w_{k+\lambda_{\nu}}\left[a_{\nu}\right]+b_{k} w_{k-\lambda_{\nu}}^{*}\left[a_{\nu}\right]\right\} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Let $-\frac{1}{2}<\lambda_{v}<\frac{1}{2}$ and require

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left(z-a_{v}\right)^{-\lambda_{v}} & 0  \tag{2.6}\\
0 & \left(\bar{z}-\bar{a}_{v}\right)^{\lambda_{v}}
\end{array}\right) \psi \in H^{1}\left[a_{v}\right]
$$

where $H^{1}\left[a_{\nu}\right]$ denotes the space of functions that are single-valued and square integrable in the neighborhood of $a_{v}$ together with their first derivatives. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left[a_{\nu}\right]=\sum_{k>0}\left\{a_{k} w_{k+\lambda_{\nu}}\left[a_{\nu}\right]+b_{k} w_{k-\lambda_{\nu}}^{*}\left[a_{\nu}\right]\right\} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let us consider multivalued solutions with monodromy (2.1) that are square integrable at $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ and satisfy (2.5) or (2.7) in the neighborhood of each singularity. The spaces of solutions of the first and second type will be denoted by $\mathbf{W}^{a, \lambda}$ and $\widetilde{\mathbf{W}}^{a, \lambda}$ respectively.
Theorem 2.1. $\operatorname{dim} \mathbf{W}^{a, \lambda} \leq n ; \operatorname{dim} \widetilde{\mathbf{W}}^{a, \lambda}=0$.
Consider a positive definite scalar product on $\mathbf{W}^{a, \lambda}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle u, w\rangle=\overline{\langle w, u\rangle}=\frac{m^{2}}{2} \int_{\mathcal{C} \backslash a} \bar{u} \cdot w i d z \wedge d \bar{z}=\frac{m^{2}}{2} \int_{\mathcal{C} \backslash a}\left(\bar{u}_{1} w_{1}+\bar{u}_{2} w_{2}\right) i d z \wedge d \bar{z} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the expression under the integral is indeed a single-valued function on $\mathcal{C} \backslash a$. This function is integrable due to imposed boundary conditions. From the Dirac equation on $\mathcal{C} \backslash b$ it follows that

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ \frac { m } { 2 } w _ { 1 } = \partial _ { z } w _ { 2 } , } \\
{ \frac { m } { 2 } w _ { 2 } = \partial _ { \overline { z } } w _ { 1 } , }
\end{array} \quad \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{m}{2} \bar{u}_{1}=\partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{u}_{2} \\
\frac{m}{2} \bar{u}_{2}=\partial_{z} \bar{u}_{1}
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

and we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{m}{2}\left(\bar{u}_{1} w_{1}+\bar{u}_{2} w_{2}\right) d z \wedge d \bar{z}=-d\left(\bar{u}_{2} w_{1} d z\right)=d\left(\bar{u}_{1} w_{2} d \bar{z}\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $D_{\varepsilon}\left(a_{\nu}\right)$ the disk of radius $\varepsilon$ about $a_{\nu}$. Using (2.9) and the Stokes theorem, one obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle u, w\rangle= & i m \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \oint_{\partial D_{\varepsilon}\left(a_{v}\right)} \bar{u}_{2} w_{1} d z \\
= & i m \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \oint_{\partial D_{\varepsilon}\left(a_{v}\right)}\left(\overline{a_{-1 / 2}^{(\nu)}(u)} \frac{(m \bar{z} / 2)^{\lambda_{\nu}}}{\lambda_{\nu}!}+\ldots+\overline{b_{1 / 2}^{(\nu)}(u)} \frac{(m z / 2)^{-\lambda_{\nu}}}{\left(-\lambda_{\nu}\right)!}+\ldots\right) \\
& \times\left(a_{-1 / 2}^{(\nu)}(w) \frac{(m z / 2)^{\lambda_{\nu}-1}}{\left(\lambda_{\nu}-1\right)}+\ldots+b_{1 / 2}^{(\nu)}(w) \frac{(m \bar{z} / 2)^{1-\lambda_{v}}}{\left(1-\lambda_{\nu}\right)}+\ldots\right) d z \\
= & -4 \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \overline{b_{1 / 2}^{(\nu)}(u)} a_{-1 / 2}^{(\nu)}(w) \sin \pi \lambda_{\nu} . \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Or, analogously

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle u, w\rangle= & -i m \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \oint_{\partial D_{\varepsilon}\left(a_{v}\right)} \bar{u}_{1} w_{2} d \bar{z} \\
= & -i m \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \oint_{\partial D_{\varepsilon}\left(a_{v}\right)}\left(\overline{a_{-1 / 2}^{(\nu)}(u)} \frac{(m \bar{z} / 2)^{\lambda_{v}-1}}{\left(\lambda_{\nu}-1\right)!}+\ldots+\overline{b_{1 / 2}^{(\nu)}(u)} \frac{(m z / 2)^{1-\lambda_{v}}}{\left(1-\lambda_{v}\right)!}+\ldots\right) \\
& \times\left(a_{-1 / 2}^{(\nu)}(w) \frac{(m z / 2)^{\lambda_{v}}}{\lambda_{\nu}!}+\ldots+b_{1 / 2}^{(\nu)}(w) \frac{(m \bar{z} / 2)^{-\lambda_{v}}}{\left(-\lambda_{\nu}\right)!}+\ldots\right) d \bar{z} \\
= & -4 \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \overline{a_{-1 / 2}^{(\nu)}(u)} b_{1 / 2}^{(\nu)}(w) \sin \pi \lambda_{\nu}=\overline{\langle w, u\rangle .} \tag{.11}
\end{align*}
$$

If the dimension of $\mathbf{W}^{a, \lambda}$ were greater than $n$, we would be able to construct a solution $v \in \mathbf{W}^{a, \lambda}$ with all $a_{-1 / 2}^{(\nu)}(v)=0(v=1, \ldots, n)$. This solution has zero norm $\langle v, v\rangle=0$ and, therefore, vanishes identically, implying the first statement of the theorem.

Note that the solutions of the second type are square integrable with respect to the inner product (2.8). We can show in absolutely analogous fashion that $\langle v, v\rangle=0$ for all $v \in \widetilde{\mathbf{W}}^{a, \lambda}$. Consequently, $\operatorname{dim} \widetilde{\mathbf{W}}^{a, \lambda}=0$.

Suppose ${ }^{1}$ that $\operatorname{dim} \mathbf{W}^{a, \lambda}=n$. Then we can fix a canonical basis $\left\{\mathbf{w}_{\mu}\right\}_{\mu=1, \ldots, n}$ of this space, having chosen $a_{-1 / 2}^{(\nu)}\left(\mathbf{w}_{\mu}\right)=\delta_{\mu \nu}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{w}_{\mu}\left[a_{\nu}\right]=\delta_{\mu \nu} w_{-1 / 2+\lambda_{\nu}}\left[a_{\nu}\right]+\sum_{k>0}\left\{a_{k}^{(\nu)}\left(\mathbf{w}_{\mu}\right) w_{k+\lambda_{\nu}}\left[a_{\nu}\right]+b_{k}^{(\nu)}\left(\mathbf{w}_{\mu}\right) w_{k-\lambda_{\nu}}^{*}\left[a_{\nu}\right]\right\} . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]Remark. Let us calculate the inner product of two elements of the canonical basis in two ways - by the formula (2.10) and its "conjugate" (2.11):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathbf{w}_{\mu}, \mathbf{w}_{\nu}\right\rangle=-4 \overline{b_{1 / 2}^{(\nu)}\left(\mathbf{w}_{\mu}\right)} \sin \pi \lambda_{\nu}=-4 b_{1 / 2}^{(\mu)}\left(\mathbf{w}_{\nu}\right) \sin \pi \lambda_{\mu} . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have obtained a set of algebraic relations between the expansion coefficients $b_{1 / 2}^{(\nu)}\left(\mathbf{w}_{\mu}\right)$. In what follows, we will deduce additional relations and use them in the construction of deformation equations.

The "planar" analog of the previous theorem has an instructive illustration when $n=1$. In the case of a single branching point one can suppose it to lie at zero. Then any solution with required singular behaviour is represented by the expansion

$$
\psi=a_{-1 / 2} w_{-1 / 2+\lambda}[0]+\sum_{k>0}\left\{a_{k} w_{k+\lambda}[0]+b_{k} w_{k-\lambda}^{*}[0]\right\}
$$

on the whole punctured plane $\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash\{0\}$. This expansion will be square integrable at infinity if and only if

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a_{k}=0 \text { for } k>0, \\
b_{k}=0 \text { for } k>1, \\
b_{1 / 2}=-a_{-1 / 2},
\end{array}\right.
$$

since the only integrable combinations of partial solutions (2.4) are

$$
\hat{w}_{l}[0]=w_{-l}^{*}[0]-w_{l}[0] .
$$

Then, as one could expect, for $n=1$ the space $\mathbf{W}^{0, \lambda}$ is generated by the single element of canonical basis

$$
\mathbf{w}=w_{-1 / 2+\lambda}[0]-w_{1 / 2-\lambda}[0]=-\hat{w}_{1 / 2-\lambda}[0] .
$$

With some effort, it is also possible to find an explicit formula for the canonical basis on the 1-punctured cylinder. This problem will be solved in the next subsection, using some generalization of the method of Fonseca and Zamolodchikov [6].
2.2. Canonical basis on the cylinder with one branching point. We are looking for the solution $\psi$ to Dirac equation on the strip $0<y<\beta$,

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{m}{2} & -\partial_{z} \\
-\partial_{\bar{z}} & \frac{m}{2}
\end{array}\right)\binom{\psi_{1}}{\psi_{2}}=0,
$$

which has the following properties:

- The continuations of this solution to the left and right halfplane are quasiperiodic in $y$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi(x, y+\beta)=e^{2 \pi i \lambda_{0}} \psi(x, y) \text { for } x<0,  \tag{2.14}\\
& \psi(x, y+\beta)=e^{2 \pi i \tilde{\lambda}} \psi(x, y) \text { for } x>0, \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tilde{\lambda}=\lambda_{0}+\lambda_{1}$.
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- It satisfies the normalization condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|z| \rightarrow 0}(m z / 2)^{1-\lambda_{1}} \psi_{1}(x, y)=\frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\lambda_{1}\right)}, \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the fractional power of $z$ is defined as

$$
z^{1-\lambda_{1}}=e^{\left(1-\lambda_{1}\right) \ln z}, \quad 0<\operatorname{Im}(\ln z)<2 \pi
$$

Theorem 2.1 shows that these requirements determine the solution uniquely.
The function $e^{-2 \pi i \lambda_{0} y / \beta} \psi$ is periodic in the left halfplane and therefore can be expanded there in Fourier series. Substituting the series into Dirac equation, one obtains a general form of the solution for $x<0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{x<0}(x, y)=-A \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}+\lambda_{0}} \frac{G\left(\theta_{n}\right)}{m \beta \cosh \theta_{n}} e^{m x \cosh \theta_{n}+i m y \sinh \theta_{n}}\binom{e^{\theta_{n}}}{1} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sinh \theta_{n}=\frac{2 \pi}{m \beta} n$ and the factor $1 /\left(m \beta \cosh \theta_{n}\right)$ is introduced for further convenience. Analogously, the general form of the solution in the right halfplane is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{x>0}(x, y)=A \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}-\tilde{\lambda}} \frac{H\left(\theta_{n}\right)}{m \beta \cosh \theta_{n}} e^{-m x \cosh \theta_{n}-i m y \sinh \theta_{n}}\binom{-e^{\theta_{n}}}{1} . \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Of course, in order for the series (2.17) and (2.18) to converge the functions $G(\theta)$ and $H(\theta)$ have to not grow too rapidly as $\theta \rightarrow \pm \infty$. Moreover, we shall assume that $G(\theta)$ and $H(\theta)$ are analytic in the strip $-\frac{\pi}{2}-\delta<\operatorname{Im} \theta<\frac{\pi}{2}+\delta$ for some $\delta>0$, so that (2.17) and (2.18) can be represented via contour integrals (see Fig. 3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{x<0}(x, y)=A \int_{C_{-} \cup C_{+}} \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi} \frac{G(\theta)}{1-e^{i m \beta} \sinh \theta-2 \pi i \lambda_{0}} e^{m x \cosh \theta+i m y \sinh \theta}\binom{e^{\theta}}{1}, \\
& \psi_{x>0}(x, y)=A \int_{C_{-} \cup C_{+}} \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi} \frac{H(\theta)}{1-e^{-i m \beta \sinh \theta-2 \pi i \tilde{\lambda}}} e^{-m x \cosh \theta-i m y \sinh \theta}\binom{-e^{\theta}}{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $0<y<\beta$, the contours $C_{+}$and $C_{-}$can be continuously deformed into $\operatorname{Im} \theta=\frac{\pi}{2}$ and $\operatorname{Im} \theta=-\frac{\pi}{2}$, respectively, defining the continuations of $\psi_{x<0}(x, y)$ and $\psi_{x>0}(x, y)$ on the whole strip

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{x<0}(x, y)= & A \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi}\left\{-\frac{G(\theta+i \pi / 2) e^{i m x \sinh \theta-m y \cosh \theta}}{1-e^{-m \beta \cosh \theta-2 \pi i \lambda_{0}}}\binom{i e^{\theta}}{1}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{G(\theta-i \pi / 2) e^{-i m x \sinh \theta+m y \cosh \theta}}{1-e^{m \beta \cosh \theta-2 \pi i \lambda_{0}}}\binom{-i e^{\theta}}{1}\right\}, \\
\psi_{x>0}(x, y)= & A \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi}\left\{-\frac{H(\theta+i \pi / 2) e^{-i m x \sinh \theta+m y \cosh \theta}}{1-e^{m \beta \cosh \theta-2 \pi i \tilde{\lambda}}}\binom{-i e^{\theta}}{1}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{H(\theta-i \pi / 2) e^{i m x \sinh \theta-m y \cosh \theta}}{1-e^{-m \beta \cosh \theta-2 \pi i \tilde{\lambda}}}\binom{i e^{\theta}}{1}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

These continuations coincide if two functional relations for $G(\theta)$ and $H(\theta)$ hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{G(\theta+i \pi / 2)}{H(\theta-i \pi / 2)}=-\frac{1-e^{-m \beta \cosh \theta-2 \pi i \lambda_{0}}}{1-e^{-m \beta \cosh \theta-2 \pi i \tilde{\lambda}}},  \tag{2.19}\\
& \frac{G(\theta-i \pi / 2)}{H(\theta+i \pi / 2)}=-e^{2 \pi i \lambda_{1}} \frac{1-e^{-m \beta \cosh \theta+2 \pi i \lambda_{0}}}{1-e^{-m \beta \cosh \theta+2 \pi i \tilde{\lambda}}} . \tag{2.20}
\end{align*}
$$

The relevant solutions of these equations can be found using the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. Consider two functions, $f(\theta)$ and $g(\theta)$, that are analytic in the strip $|\operatorname{Im} \theta|<\delta$. If in this strip $|f(\theta)|=O\left(\frac{1}{|\operatorname{Re} \theta|^{2}}\right)$ and $|g(\theta)|=O(1)$ as $\operatorname{Re} \theta \rightarrow \pm \infty$, then the functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(\theta)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d \theta^{\prime}}{2 \pi} \tanh \left(\theta^{\prime}-\theta\right) f\left(\theta^{\prime}\right), \quad \eta(\theta)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d \theta^{\prime}}{2 \pi} \operatorname{sech}\left(\theta^{\prime}-\theta\right) g\left(\theta^{\prime}\right), \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be analytically continued to the strip $|\operatorname{Im} \theta|<\frac{\pi}{2}+\delta$. Furthermore, if $|\operatorname{Im} \theta|<\delta$, these continuations satisfy the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
v\left(\theta+\frac{i \pi}{2}\right)-v\left(\theta-\frac{i \pi}{2}\right)=-i f(\theta), \quad \eta\left(\theta+\frac{i \pi}{2}\right)+\eta\left(\theta-\frac{i \pi}{2}\right)=g(\theta) . \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Obviously, the expressions (2.21) for $\nu(\theta)$ and $\eta(\theta)$ are analytic functions in the strip $|\operatorname{Im} \theta|<\frac{\pi}{2}$. Their analytic continuations to $|\operatorname{Im} \theta|<\frac{\pi}{2}+\delta$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.v(\theta)\right|_{\operatorname{Im} \theta= \pm \frac{\pi}{2}} & =\mp \frac{i}{2} f\left(\theta \mp \frac{i \pi}{2}\right)+P \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d \theta^{\prime}}{2 \pi} \operatorname{coth}\left(\theta^{\prime}-\theta \pm \frac{i \pi}{2}\right) f\left(\theta^{\prime}\right), \\
\left.\eta(\theta)\right|_{\operatorname{Im} \theta= \pm \frac{\pi}{2}} & =\frac{1}{2} g\left(\theta \mp \frac{i \pi}{2}\right) \pm i P \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d \theta^{\prime}}{2 \pi} \operatorname{csch}\left(\theta^{\prime}-\theta \pm \frac{i \pi}{2}\right) g\left(\theta^{\prime}\right), \\
\left.v(\theta)\right|_{\frac{\pi}{2}<\operatorname{Im} \theta<\frac{\pi}{2}+\delta} ^{\infty} & =-i f\left(\theta-\frac{i \pi}{2}\right)+\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d \theta^{\prime}}{2 \pi} \tanh \left(\theta^{\prime}-\theta\right) f\left(\theta^{\prime}\right), \\
\left.\eta(\theta)\right|_{\frac{\pi}{2}<\operatorname{Im} \theta<\frac{\pi}{2}+\delta} & =g\left(\theta-\frac{i \pi}{2}\right)+\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d \theta^{\prime}}{2 \pi} \operatorname{sech}\left(\theta^{\prime}-\theta\right) g\left(\theta^{\prime}\right), \\
\left.v(\theta)\right|_{-\frac{\pi}{2}-\delta<\operatorname{Im} \theta<-\frac{\pi}{2}} ^{\infty} & =i f\left(\theta+\frac{i \pi}{2}\right)+\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d \theta^{\prime}}{2 \pi} \tanh \left(\theta^{\prime}-\theta\right) f\left(\theta^{\prime}\right), \\
\left.\eta(\theta)\right|_{-\frac{\pi}{2}-\delta<\operatorname{Im} \theta<-\frac{\pi}{2}} ^{\infty} & =g\left(\theta+\frac{i \pi}{2}\right)+\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d \theta^{\prime}}{2 \pi} \operatorname{sech}\left(\theta^{\prime}-\theta\right) g\left(\theta^{\prime}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the first two integrals are understood in the principal value sense. Then the statement of the lemma follows immediately.

If we write the functions $G(\theta)$ and $H(\theta)$ in the form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
G(\theta)=-\exp \left(\pi i \lambda_{1}-\lambda_{1} \theta+\frac{i}{2} \nu(\theta)+\frac{1}{2} \eta(\theta)\right),  \tag{2.23}\\
H(\theta)=\exp \left(-\lambda_{1} \theta+\frac{i}{2} \nu(\theta)-\frac{1}{2} \eta(\theta)\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

the functional relations (2.19) and (2.20) reduce to (2.22) with a particular choice of the functions $f(\theta)$ and $g(\theta)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(\theta)=\ln \frac{1-e^{-m \beta \cosh \theta-2 \pi i \lambda_{0}}}{1-e^{-m \beta \cosh \theta+2 \pi i \lambda_{0}}}-\ln \frac{1-e^{-m \beta \cosh \theta-2 \pi i \tilde{\lambda}}}{1-e^{-m \beta \cosh \theta+2 \pi i \tilde{\lambda}}},  \tag{2.24}\\
& g(\theta)=\ln \frac{\left(1-e^{-m \beta \cosh \theta+2 \pi i \lambda_{0}}\right)\left(1-e^{-m \beta \cosh \theta-2 \pi i \lambda_{0}}\right)}{\left(1-e^{-m \beta \cosh \theta+2 \pi i \tilde{\lambda}}\right)\left(1-e^{-m \beta \cosh \theta-2 \pi i \tilde{\lambda}}\right)} . \tag{2.25}
\end{align*}
$$

The branches of logarithms in (2.24) and (2.25) are fixed so that for real $\theta$ their imaginary parts lie in the interval $(-\pi ; \pi)$.

The formulae (2.17), (2.18), (2.21) and (2.23)-(2.25) provide a solution to the Dirac equation on the 1-punctured cylinder with specified branching (one should go back and check that all formal manipulations we have made with functions $G(\theta)$ and $H(\theta)$ indeed can be done). It remains only to verify the normalization condition (2.16).

Let us take, say, the expansion (2.18) and rewrite it using the Poisson summation formula:

$$
\psi_{x>0}(x, y)=A \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi} H(\theta) e^{-m x \cosh \theta-i m(y+k \beta) \sinh \theta-2 \pi i k \tilde{\lambda}}\binom{-e^{\theta}}{1} .
$$

The asymptotics of $\psi$ for $|z| \rightarrow 0$ is determined by the term with $k=0$. Since $\lambda_{1}>0$, the main contribution to the corresponding integral is due to large $|\theta|$. Straightforward calculation shows that for $|z| \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\psi_{1}(x, y) \sim-\frac{A}{2 \pi} e^{-\pi i \lambda_{1} / 2+i \nu_{\infty} / 2} \Gamma\left(1-\lambda_{1}\right)(m z / 2)^{\lambda_{1}-1}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{\infty} & =\lim _{\theta \rightarrow+\infty} v(\theta) \\
& =-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d \theta\left(\ln \frac{1-e^{-m \beta \cosh \theta-2 \pi i \lambda_{0}}}{1-e^{-m \beta \cosh \theta+2 \pi i \lambda_{0}}}-\ln \frac{1-e^{-m \beta \cosh \theta-2 \pi i \tilde{\lambda}}}{1-e^{-m \beta \cosh \theta+2 \pi i \tilde{\lambda}}}\right) . \tag{2.26}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, the solution we have constructed differs from the element of the canonical basis only by a constant factor which can be set to unity by the appropriate choice of $A$. Summarizing all these results, we obtain

Theorem 2.3. The element of canonical basis on the cylinder with one branchpoint is given by the following expressions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{w}(x, y)=A \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}+\lambda_{0}} \frac{e^{\pi i \lambda_{1}+\frac{i}{2} \nu\left(\theta_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \eta\left(\theta_{n}\right)}}{m \beta \cosh \theta_{n}} e^{-\lambda_{1} \theta_{n}+m x \cosh \theta_{n}+i m y \sinh \theta_{n}}\binom{e^{\theta_{n}}}{1} \text { for } x<0, \\
& \mathbf{w}(x, y)=A \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}-\tilde{\lambda}} \frac{e^{\frac{i}{2} \nu\left(\theta_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \eta\left(\theta_{n}\right)}}{m \beta \cosh \theta_{n}} e^{-\lambda_{1} \theta_{n}-m x \cosh \theta_{n}-i m y \sinh \theta_{n}}\binom{-e^{\theta_{n}}}{1} \text { for } x>0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the functions $v(\theta), \eta(\theta)$ are determined from

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nu(\theta)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d \theta^{\prime}}{2 \pi} \tanh \left(\theta^{\prime}-\theta\right)\left(\ln \frac{1-e^{-m \beta \cosh \theta^{\prime}-2 \pi i \lambda_{0}}}{1-e^{-m \beta \cosh \theta^{\prime}+2 \pi i \lambda_{0}}}-\ln \frac{1-e^{-m \beta \cosh \theta^{\prime}-2 \pi i \tilde{\lambda}}}{1-e^{-m \beta \cosh \theta^{\prime}+2 \pi i \tilde{\lambda}}}\right), \\
& \eta(\theta)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d \theta^{\prime}}{2 \pi} \operatorname{sech}\left(\theta^{\prime}-\theta\right) \ln \frac{\left(1-e^{-m \beta \cosh \theta^{\prime}+2 \pi i \lambda_{0}}\right)\left(1-e^{-m \beta \cosh \theta^{\prime}-2 \pi i \lambda_{0}}\right)}{\left(1-e^{-m \beta \cosh \theta^{\prime}+2 \pi i \tilde{\lambda}}\right)\left(1-e^{-m \beta \cosh \theta^{\prime}-2 \pi i \tilde{\lambda}}\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

and normalization constant $A=-2 \sin \pi \lambda_{1} e^{-i \nu_{\infty} / 2}$.

## 3. Green Function for the Dirac Operator

3.1. Green function for $n=0$. Let us calculate the Green function on the cylinder without branchpoints. The domain of the Dirac operator in this case consists of quasiperiodic functions $\psi(x, y+\beta)=e^{2 \pi i \lambda_{0}} \psi(x, y)$ that are square integrable in the strip $S=\{(x, y): 0<y<\beta\}$. After Fourier transformation

$$
\hat{\psi}\left(\xi_{x}, \xi_{y}\right)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d x \int_{0}^{\beta} d y \psi(x, y) e^{-i\left(x \xi_{x}+y \xi_{y}\right)}, \quad \xi_{x} \in \mathbb{R}, \xi_{y} \in \frac{2 \pi}{\beta}\left(\mathbb{Z}+\lambda_{0}\right)
$$

the Dirac operator and its inverse are represented by matrices

$$
D=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
m & -i \bar{\xi} \\
-i \xi & m
\end{array}\right), \quad D^{-1}=\frac{2}{m^{2}+|\xi|^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
m & i \bar{\xi} \\
i \xi & m
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $\xi=\xi_{x}+i \xi_{y}, \bar{\xi}=\xi_{x}-\xi_{y}$. Since the inverse transformation is given by

$$
\psi(x, y)=\frac{2 \pi}{\beta} \sum_{\xi_{y}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d \xi_{x} \hat{\psi}\left(\xi_{x}, \xi_{y}\right) e^{i\left(x \xi_{x}+y \xi_{y}\right)}
$$

one obtains the following formula for the Green function $G_{0}\left(x-x^{\prime}, y-y^{\prime}\right)$ :

$$
G_{0}(x, y)=\frac{1}{\pi \beta} \sum_{\xi_{y}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d \xi_{x}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
m & i \bar{\xi}  \tag{3.1}\\
i \xi & m
\end{array}\right) \frac{e^{i\left(x \xi_{x}+y \xi_{y}\right)}}{m^{2}+|\xi|^{2}}
$$

Another two representations of the Green function will be useful for us. Choose, for example, $x>0$ and calculate the integrals in (3.1):

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{0}(x, y)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}-\lambda_{0}} \frac{e^{-m x \cosh \theta_{n}-i m y \sinh \theta_{n}}}{\beta \cosh \theta_{n}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -e^{\theta_{n}} \\
-e^{-\theta_{n}} & 1
\end{array}\right)  \tag{3.2}\\
& =m \int_{C_{-} \cup C_{+}} \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi} \frac{e^{-m x \cosh \theta-i m y \sinh \theta}}{1-e^{-i m \beta \sinh \theta-2 \pi i \lambda_{0}}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -e^{\theta} \\
-e^{-\theta} & 1
\end{array}\right) . \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Analogously, for $x<0$ one obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{0}(x, y) & =\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}+\lambda_{0}} \frac{e^{m x \cosh \theta_{n}+i m y \sinh \theta_{n}}}{\beta \cosh \theta_{n}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & e^{\theta_{n}} \\
e^{-\theta_{n}} & 1
\end{array}\right)  \tag{3.4}\\
& =-m \int_{C_{-} \cup C_{+}} \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi} \frac{e^{m x \cosh \theta+i m y \sinh \theta}}{1-e^{i m \beta \sinh \theta-2 \pi i \lambda_{0}}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & e^{\theta} \\
e^{-\theta} & 1
\end{array}\right) . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

In what follows, we shall also need the asymptotics of these expressions as $x, y \rightarrow 0$. To find it, let us rewrite (3.1) using the Poisson formula:

$$
G_{0}(x, y)=\frac{1}{2 \pi^{2}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d \xi_{x} d \xi_{y}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
m & i \bar{\xi}  \tag{3.6}\\
i \xi & m
\end{array}\right) \frac{e^{i\left(x \xi_{x}+y \xi_{y}\right)+i k\left(\beta \xi_{y}-2 \pi \lambda_{0}\right)}}{m^{2}+|\xi|^{2}}
$$

The leading term of asymptotics is determined by the integral corresponding to $k=0$, representing the Green function on the plane. A little calculation shows that as $|z| \rightarrow 0$,

$$
G_{0}(x, y) \sim-\frac{m}{\pi}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\ln |z| & 1 / z \\
1 / \bar{z} & \ln |z|
\end{array}\right) .
$$

It is very convenient to write the Green function as

$$
G_{0}(z)=2 G(z) J, \quad J=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & i \\
-i & 0
\end{array}\right),
$$

since the rows of $G(z)$ satisfy the Dirac equation (and not its adjoint, as the rows of $G_{0}(z)$ do).
3.2. General properties of Green function. The domain $\mathcal{D}^{a, \lambda}$ of the Dirac operator $D^{a, \lambda}$ is chosen to consist of functions $\psi$ that have monodromies $e^{2 \pi i \lambda_{\nu}}(\nu=0, \ldots, n)$ and are integrable at $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. We also require (see (2.6))

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left(z-a_{\nu}\right)^{-\lambda_{v}} & 0  \tag{3.7}\\
0 & \left(\bar{z}-\bar{a}_{v}\right)^{\lambda_{\nu}}
\end{array}\right) \psi\left[a_{\nu}\right] \in H^{1}\left[a_{\nu}\right], \quad v=1, \ldots, n,
$$

where $H^{1}\left[a_{\nu}\right]$ denotes the space of functions that are square integrable in the neighborhood of $\left\{a_{v}\right\}$ together with their first derivatives. In the previous section we have shown that the Dirac equation $D^{a, \lambda} \psi=0$ has no solutions in $\mathcal{D}^{a, \lambda}$. Thus "naively" we can think of $D^{a, \lambda}$ as being an invertible operator. The kernel of the inverse is called the Green function $G^{a, \lambda}$. More precisely, the solution of

$$
D^{a, \lambda} \psi=\varphi
$$

is assumed to have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(z)=\int_{\mathcal{C} \backslash b} G^{a, \lambda}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right) J \varphi\left(z^{\prime}\right) i d z^{\prime} \wedge d \overline{z^{\prime}} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then one can try to determine the Green function by the following requirements:

- The columns of $G^{a, \lambda}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)$ must satisfy Dirac equation $D_{z} G_{\cdot, j}^{a, \lambda}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)=0$ for all $z \in \mathcal{C} \backslash\left(b \cup\left\{z^{\prime}\right\}\right)$; they are square integrable functions at $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ that have monodromy $e^{2 \pi i \lambda_{\nu}}(\nu=0, \ldots, n)$ and singular behaviour (3.7) at each singularity. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\cdot, j}^{a, \lambda}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)\left[a_{\nu}\right]=\sum_{k>0}\left\{a_{k, j}^{(\nu)}\left(z^{\prime}\right) w_{k+\lambda_{\nu}}\left[a_{\nu}\right]+b_{k, j}^{(\nu)}\left(z^{\prime}\right) w_{k-\lambda_{v}}^{*}\left[a_{\nu}\right]\right\} . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

- The integral operator with the kernel $D_{z}^{a, \lambda} G^{a, \lambda}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)$ has to "cut out" the values of the function $\varphi(z)$. Therefore, the singular behaviour of $G^{a, \lambda}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)$ for $z \rightarrow z^{\prime}$ must coincide with that of Green function for the Dirac operator on the cylinder without branchpoints,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{a, \lambda}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)-G\left(z, z^{\prime}\right) \in C^{1}\left(z \rightarrow z^{\prime}\right) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark. Suppose the function defined by these conditions exists. Then it is unique, since the columns of the difference of two such functions are obviously in $\widetilde{\mathbf{W}}^{a, \lambda}$.

Next we determine how $G^{a, \lambda}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)$ depends on the second argument. To do this, let us define a matrix $F^{a, \lambda}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- The rows of $F^{a, \lambda}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)$ satisfy Dirac equation $D_{z^{\prime}} G_{j, .}^{a, \lambda}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)=0$ for all $z^{\prime} \in$ $\mathcal{C} \backslash(b \cup\{z\})$; they are square integrable functions at $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, have the inverse monodromy $e^{-2 \pi i \lambda_{v}}(v=0, \ldots, n)$ and corresponding singular behaviour (3.7) at the branching points:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{j, .}^{a, \lambda}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)\left[a_{\nu}\right]=\sum_{k>0}\left\{\alpha_{k, j}^{(\nu)}(z) w_{k-\lambda_{\nu}}\left[a_{\nu}\right]+\beta_{k, j}^{(\nu)}(z) w_{k+\lambda_{\nu}}^{*}\left[a_{\nu}\right]\right\} . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

- The singular behaviour of $F^{a, \lambda}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)$ for $z^{\prime} \rightarrow z$ coincides with the asymptotics of "unperturbed" Green function,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{a, \lambda}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)-G\left(z, z^{\prime}\right) \in C^{1}\left(z^{\prime} \rightarrow z\right) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Skipping the proof of existence of $G^{a, \lambda}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)$ (or $\left.F^{a, \lambda}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)\right)$ we shall now prove the following

Theorem 3.1. $G^{a, \lambda}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)=F^{a, \lambda}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)$.
$\square$ First we note an auxiliary relation: if $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are smooth functions on some open set $U \subset \mathcal{C}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\{D f \cdot J g-f \cdot J D g\} d z \wedge d \bar{z} & =\left\{\partial_{z}\left(f_{2} g_{2}\right)-\partial_{\bar{z}}\left(f_{1} g_{1}\right)\right\} d z \wedge d \bar{z} \\
& =d\left(f_{1} g_{1} d z+f_{2} g_{2} d \bar{z}\right) \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Now choose two distinct points $x, y \notin b$ and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f(z)=F_{i \cdot,}^{a, \lambda}(x, z), \\
g(z)=G_{\cdot, j}^{a, \lambda}(z, y),
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $U$ being the complement to the union of the disks $\left(\bigcup_{\nu=1}^{n} D_{\varepsilon}\left(a_{\nu}\right)\right) \cup D_{\varepsilon}(x) \cup D_{\varepsilon}(y)$ and two strips: $S_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}=\{(x, y): 0 \leq y<\varepsilon\}$ and $S_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}=\{(x, y): \beta-\varepsilon<y \leq \beta\}$. Integrating (3.13) over this set and using Stokes theorem, one obtains

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \oint_{\partial D_{\varepsilon}\left(a_{v}\right)}\left(f_{1} g_{1} d z+f_{2} g_{2} d \bar{z}\right)+\oint_{\partial D_{\varepsilon}(x) \cup \partial D_{\varepsilon}(y)}\left(f_{1} g_{1} d z+f_{2} g_{2} d \bar{z}\right) \\
& +\oint_{\partial S_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \cup \partial S_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}}\left(f_{1} g_{1} d z+f_{2} g_{2} d \bar{z}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The expression under the integrals is single-valued on $\mathcal{C} \backslash a$, so in the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ the last integral cancels out. The integrals over $\partial D_{\varepsilon}\left(a_{\nu}\right)$ also vanish. One can easily check
this, substituting instead of $G_{\cdot, j}^{a, \lambda}(z, y)$ and $F_{i, .}^{a, \lambda}(x, z)$ their local expansions (3.9) and (3.11). It remains to calculate

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left\{\oint_{\partial D_{\varepsilon}(x)} F_{i, 1}^{a, \lambda}(x, z) G_{1, j}^{a, \lambda}(z, y) d z+\oint_{\partial D_{\varepsilon}(x)} F_{i, 2}^{a, \lambda}(x, z) G_{2, j}^{a, \lambda}(z, y) d \bar{z}\right\} \\
& =\frac{i}{2 \pi}\left\{-2 \pi i \delta_{i 1} G_{1, j}^{a, \lambda}(x, y)-2 \pi i \delta_{i 2} G_{2, j}^{a, \lambda}(x, y)\right\}=G_{i, j}^{a, \lambda}(x, y)
\end{aligned}
$$

and, similarly,

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left\{\oint_{\partial D_{\varepsilon}(y)} F_{i, 1}^{a, \lambda}(x, z) G_{1, j}^{a, \lambda}(z, y) d z+\oint_{\partial D_{\varepsilon}(y)} F_{i, 2}^{a, \lambda}(x, z) G_{2, j}^{a, \lambda}(z, y) d \bar{z}\right\}=-F_{i, j}^{a, \lambda}(x, y) .
$$

Finally we get $G_{i, j}^{a, \lambda}(x, y)=F_{i, j}^{a, \lambda}(x, y)$.
Remark. Since the columns and rows of $G^{a, \lambda}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)$ satisfy Dirac equation in $z$ and $z^{\prime}$ respectively, so do also the derivatives of the Green function $\partial_{a_{\mu}} G^{a, \lambda}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)$ and $\partial_{\bar{a}_{\mu}} G^{a, \lambda}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)$. These derivatives are not singular at $z \rightarrow z^{\prime}$. However, their local expansions are more singular than (3.9) or (3.11); from the relations

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ \partial _ { z } w _ { l } = \frac { m } { 2 } w _ { l - 1 } , } \\
{ \partial _ { \overline { z } } w _ { l } = \frac { m } { 2 } w _ { l + 1 } , }
\end{array} \quad \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{z} w_{l}^{*}=\frac{m}{2} w_{l+1}^{*} \\
\partial_{\bar{z}} w_{l}^{*}=\frac{m}{2} w_{l-1}^{*}
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{a_{\mu}} G_{j, .}^{a, \lambda}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)\left[a_{\nu}\right]= & -\frac{m}{2} \delta_{\mu \nu} \alpha_{1 / 2, j}^{(\nu)}(z) w_{-1 / 2-\lambda_{v}} \\
& +\sum_{k>0}\left\{\gamma_{k, j}^{(\nu)}(z) w_{k-\lambda_{\nu}}+\tilde{\gamma}_{k, j}^{(\nu)}(z) w_{k+\lambda_{v}}^{*}\right\},  \tag{3.14}\\
\partial_{\bar{a}_{\mu}} G_{j, .}^{a, \lambda}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)\left[a_{\nu}\right]= & -\frac{m}{2} \delta_{\mu \nu} \beta_{1 / 2, j}^{(\nu)}(z) w_{-1 / 2+\lambda_{v}}^{*} \\
& +\sum_{k>0}\left\{\eta_{k, j}^{(\nu)}(z) w_{k-\lambda_{v}}+\tilde{\eta}_{k, j}^{(\nu)}(z) w_{k+\lambda_{v}}^{*}\right\} . \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, if we somehow determine the coefficients $\alpha_{1 / 2, j}^{(\nu)}(z), \beta_{1 / 2, j}^{(\nu)}(z)$ and find the solution of Dirac equation with the same "extra" singular behaviour, it will coincide with the corresponding derivative of Green function.

Let us consider a multivalued solution $f(z)$ to the Dirac equation in the strip $S=$ $\{(x, y): 0<y<\beta\}$, which is square integrable at $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ and has the following local expansions about the branchpoints $a_{v}$ (there are no other singularities!)

$$
f\left(z^{\prime}\right)\left[a_{\nu}\right]=\sum_{k}\left\{a_{k}^{(\nu)} w_{k-\lambda_{\nu}}+b_{k}^{(\nu)} w_{k+\lambda_{\nu}}^{*}\right\} .
$$

In addition, only a finite number of coefficients $a_{k}^{(\nu)}, b_{k}^{(\nu)}$ with $k<0$ is allowed to have non-zero values. Lower and upper continuations of $f(z)$ across the branchcut $d_{v}$
$(\nu=0, \ldots, n)$ are supposed to differ by monodromy multiplier $\exp \left(2 \pi i \sum_{k=0}^{\nu} \lambda_{k}\right)$, so that the product $G_{j, .}^{a, \lambda}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right) \overline{f\left(z^{\prime}\right)}$, as the function of $z^{\prime}$, is single-valued on $\mathcal{C} \backslash a$. Using (2.9) and Stokes theorem, one obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{m}{2} \int_{\mathcal{C} \backslash \bigcup_{\nu} D_{\varepsilon}\left(a_{v}\right)} G_{j, \cdot}^{a, \lambda}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right) \overline{f\left(z^{\prime}\right)} i d z^{\prime} \wedge d \overline{z^{\prime}}  \tag{3.16}\\
& =-\sum_{\nu} \oint_{\partial D_{\varepsilon}\left(a_{v}\right)} G_{j, 2}^{a, \lambda}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right) \overline{f_{1}\left(z^{\prime}\right)} i d \overline{z^{\prime}}-\oint_{\partial D_{\varepsilon}(z)} G_{j, 2}^{a, \lambda}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right) \overline{f_{1}\left(z^{\prime}\right)} i d \overline{z^{\prime}}  \tag{3.17}\\
& =\sum_{\nu} \oint_{\partial D_{\varepsilon}\left(a_{v}\right)} G_{j, 1}^{a, \lambda}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right) \overline{f_{2}\left(z^{\prime}\right)} i d z^{\prime}+\oint_{\partial D_{\varepsilon}(z)} G_{j, 1}^{a, \lambda}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right) \overline{f_{2}\left(z^{\prime}\right)} i d z^{\prime} \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

The surface integral (3.16) does not converge for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. However, one can still compare the asymptotics of (3.17) and (3.18) for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}(3.17)=-\frac{4}{m} \sum_{\nu} \sum_{k>0}(-1)^{k+1 / 2} \sin \pi \lambda_{\nu} \beta_{k, j}^{(\nu)}(z) \overline{a_{-k}^{(\nu)}}-i \delta_{j 2} \overline{f_{1}(z)}, \\
& \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}(3.18)=\frac{4}{m} \sum_{\nu} \sum_{k>0}(-1)^{k+1 / 2} \sin \pi \lambda_{\nu} \alpha_{k, j}^{(\nu)}(z) \overline{b_{-k}^{(\nu)}}+i \delta_{j 1} \overline{f_{2}(z)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally we get
$\sum_{\nu} \sum_{k>0}(-1)^{k+1 / 2} \sin \pi \lambda_{\nu}\left\{\beta_{k, j}^{(\nu)}(z) \overline{a_{-k}^{(\nu)}}+\alpha_{k, j}^{(\nu)}(z) \overline{b_{-k}^{(\nu)}}\right\}=-\frac{i m}{4}\left(\delta_{j 2} \overline{f_{1}(z)}+\delta_{j 1} \overline{f_{2}(z)}\right)$.

Now we shall make a special choice of $f\left(z^{\prime}\right)$ to find the lowest coefficients of the Green function expansions. Analogously to the previous section (see (2.12)), let us introduce $n$ special multivalued solutions to Dirac equation, that are integrable at $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ and have local expansions

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\mu}(\lambda)\left[a_{\nu}\right]= & \delta_{\mu \nu} w_{-1 / 2+\lambda_{\nu}}\left[a_{\nu}\right] \\
& +\sum_{k>0}\left\{a_{k}^{(\nu)}\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\mu}(\lambda)\right) w_{k+\lambda_{\nu}}\left[a_{\nu}\right]+b_{k}^{(\nu)}\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\mu}(\lambda)\right) w_{k-\lambda_{\nu}}^{*}\left[a_{\nu}\right]\right\} . \tag{3.20}
\end{align*}
$$

The existence and uniqueness of such solutions follow from the existence of canonical basis; two bases coincide if all $\lambda_{\nu}>0$.

After the substitution $f(z)=\widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\mu}(-\lambda)$ in (3.19) one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{\beta_{1 / 2,1}^{(\mu)}(z)}{\beta_{1 / 2,2}^{(\mu)}(z)}=\frac{i m}{4 \sin \pi \lambda_{\mu}}\left(\frac{\overline{\widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\mu 2}(z,-\lambda)}}{\widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\mu 1}(z,-\lambda)}\right)=\frac{i m}{4 \sin \pi \lambda_{\mu}} \widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\mu}^{*}(z,-\lambda) . \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, the substitution $f(z)=\widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\mu}^{*}(z, \lambda)$ in (3.19) gives a formula for $\alpha_{1 / 2, j}^{(\mu)}(z)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{\alpha_{1 / 2,1}^{(\mu)}(z)}{\alpha_{1 / 2,2}^{(\mu)}(z)}=\frac{i m}{4 \sin \pi \lambda_{\mu}} \widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\mu}(z, \lambda) . \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account the earlier remarks (the formulae (3.14) and (3.15)), we obtain an expression for the derivatives of the Green function in terms of the solutions (3.20):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{a_{j}} G^{a, \lambda}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)=-\frac{i m^{2}}{8 \sin \pi \lambda_{j}} \widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_{j}(z, \lambda) \otimes \widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_{j}\left(z^{\prime},-\lambda\right),  \tag{3.23}\\
& \partial_{\bar{a}_{j}} G^{a, \lambda}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)=-\frac{i m^{2}}{8 \sin \pi \lambda_{j}} \widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_{j}^{*}(z,-\lambda) \otimes \widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_{j}^{*}\left(z^{\prime}, \lambda\right) . \tag{3.24}
\end{align*}
$$

3.3. One-point Green function. The formulae (3.23) and (3.24) can be used to calculate the Green function $G^{a, \lambda}$ on the cylinder with one branching point $\{a\}$. Notice that in the case of a single puncture the solutions (3.20) are easily expressed via the element of canonical basis. If we suppose for definiteness that $0<\lambda_{1}<\frac{1}{2}$ then $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}(z, \lambda)=\mathbf{w}(z, \lambda)$. Differentiating the local expansions, one can also verify that

$$
\tilde{\mathbf{w}}(z,-\lambda)=\frac{2}{m} \partial_{z} \mathbf{w}(z, 1-\lambda) .
$$

Let us determine $G^{a, \lambda}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)$ if both $z, z^{\prime} \in \mathcal{C} \backslash b$ are in the left half-strip: $\operatorname{Re} z, \operatorname{Re} z^{\prime}<$ $\operatorname{Re} a$. Using the results of the previous section, one can write

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{\mathbf{w}}(z, \lambda) & =-A(\lambda) \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}+\lambda_{0}} \frac{G\left(\theta_{l} ; \lambda\right) e^{\frac{m}{2}(z-a) e^{\theta_{l}}+\frac{m}{2}(\bar{z}-\bar{a}) e^{-\theta_{l}}}}{m \beta \cosh \theta_{l}}\binom{e^{\theta_{l}}}{1},  \tag{3.25}\\
\tilde{\mathbf{w}}(z,-\lambda) & =-A(1-\lambda) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}-\lambda_{0}} \frac{G\left(\theta_{n} ; 1-\lambda\right) e^{\theta_{n}+\frac{m}{2}(z-a) e^{\theta_{n}}+\frac{m}{2}(\bar{z}-\bar{a}) e^{-\theta_{n}}}}{m \beta \cosh \theta_{n}}\binom{e^{\theta_{n}}}{1} . \tag{3.26}
\end{align*}
$$

Note the simple relations

$$
\begin{align*}
A(\lambda) A(1-\lambda) & =4 \sin ^{2} \pi \lambda_{1}, \\
v(\theta ; \lambda) & =-v(\theta ; 1-\lambda)=-v(\theta ;-\lambda),  \tag{3.27}\\
\eta(\theta ; \lambda) & =\eta(\theta ; 1-\lambda)=\eta(\theta ;-\lambda) .
\end{align*}
$$

If we substitute (3.25) and (3.26) into Eqs. (3.23), (3.24) and then integrate them, we obtain the following formula for Green function $G^{a, \lambda}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
G^{a, \lambda}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)= & i \sin \pi \lambda_{1} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}+\lambda_{0}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}-\lambda_{0}} \frac{G\left(\theta_{l} ; \lambda\right) G\left(\theta_{n} ; 1-\lambda\right) e^{\theta_{n}}}{m \beta^{2} \cosh \theta_{l} \cosh \theta_{n}} \\
& \times \frac{e^{\frac{m}{2}\left\{(z-a) e^{\theta_{l}}+(\bar{z}-\bar{a}) e^{-\theta_{l}}+\left(z^{\prime}-a\right) e^{\theta_{n}}+\left(\bar{z}^{\prime}-\bar{a}\right) e^{-\theta_{n}}\right\}}}{e^{\theta_{l}}+e^{\theta_{n}}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
e^{\theta_{l}+\theta_{n}} & e^{\theta_{l}} \\
e^{\theta_{n}} & 1
\end{array}\right)+C\left(z, z^{\prime}\right) . \tag{3.28}
\end{align*}
$$

The function $C\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)$ does not depend on the position of the branching point and has to be determined. We remark that the double sum in the RHS of the last relation converges even if $z=z^{\prime}$. This gives a hint that $C\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)$ should be equal to "unperturbed" Green function $G\left(z-z^{\prime} ; \lambda_{0}\right)$. Assuming this is indeed the case, let us fix $a=0$ and rewrite (3.28) via contour integrals

$$
\begin{align*}
G^{0, \lambda}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)= & \left.i m \sin \pi \lambda_{1} \int_{C_{-}} \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi} \int_{C_{-} \cup C_{+}} \frac{d \theta^{\prime}}{2 \pi} \frac{G(\theta ; \lambda) G\left(\theta^{\prime} ; 1-\lambda\right) e^{\theta^{\prime}}}{\left(1-e^{i m \beta} \sinh \theta-2 \pi i \lambda_{0}\right)\left(1-e^{i m \beta} \sinh \theta^{\prime}+2 \pi i \lambda_{0}\right.}\right) \\
& \times \frac{e^{\frac{m}{2}\left\{z e^{\theta}+\bar{z} e^{-\theta}+z^{\prime} e^{\theta^{\prime}}+\bar{z}^{\prime} e^{-\theta^{\prime}}\right\}}}{e^{\theta}+e^{\theta^{\prime}}}\left(\begin{array}{r}
e^{\theta+\theta^{\prime}} \\
e^{\theta} \\
e^{\theta^{\prime}} \\
1
\end{array}\right)+G\left(z-z^{\prime} ; \lambda_{0}\right) \tag{3.29}
\end{align*}
$$

Analogously, if $\operatorname{Re} z, \operatorname{Re} z^{\prime}>0$, the Green function $G^{0, \lambda}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)$ is assumed to have the following form:

$$
\begin{align*}
G^{0, \lambda}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)= & \left.i m \sin \pi \lambda_{1} \int_{C_{-} \cup C_{+}} \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi} \int_{C_{-} \cup C_{+}} \frac{d \theta^{\prime}}{2 \pi} \frac{H(\theta ; \lambda) H\left(\theta^{\prime} ; 1-\lambda\right) e^{\theta^{\prime}}}{\left(1-e^{-i m \beta} \sinh \theta-2 \pi i \tilde{\lambda}\right.}\right)\left(1-e^{-i m \beta \sinh \theta^{\prime}+2 \pi i \tilde{\lambda}}\right) \\
& \times \frac{e^{-\frac{m}{2}\left\{z e^{\theta}+\bar{z} e^{-\theta}+z^{\prime} e^{\theta^{\prime}}+\bar{z}^{\prime} e^{-\theta^{\prime}}\right\}}}{e^{\theta}+e^{\theta^{\prime}}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
e^{\theta+\theta^{\prime}} \\
-e^{\theta} \\
-e^{\theta^{\prime}}
\end{array}\right)+G\left(z-z^{\prime} ; \tilde{\lambda}\right) . \tag{3.30}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to prove that the formulae (3.29) and (3.30) indeed represent the Green function, we shall construct their continuations to arbitrary values $z, z^{\prime} \in \mathcal{C} \backslash b$, and show that these continuations coincide with each other.

Let us start, say, from the representation (3.29). At the first stage, we construct its continuation to arbitrary values of $z$ only. This can be done by shifting the contours $C_{-}$ and $C_{+}$in the integral over $\theta$ to $\operatorname{Im} \theta=-\frac{\pi}{2}, \operatorname{Im} \theta=\frac{\pi}{2}$ respectively. After this procedure one obtains

$$
\begin{aligned}
G^{0, \lambda} & \left(z, z^{\prime}\right)-G\left(z-z^{\prime} ; \lambda_{0}\right) \\
= & i m \sin \pi \lambda_{1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi} \int_{C_{-} \cup C_{+}} \frac{d \theta^{\prime}}{2 \pi} \frac{G\left(\theta^{\prime} ; 1-\lambda\right) e^{\theta^{\prime}+m x^{\prime} \cosh \theta^{\prime}+i m y^{\prime} \sinh \theta^{\prime}}}{1-e^{i m \beta \sinh \theta^{\prime}+2 \pi i \lambda_{0}}} \\
& \times\left\{\frac{G(\theta-i \pi / 2 ; \lambda) e^{-i m x \sinh \theta+m y \cosh \theta}}{\left(1-e^{m \beta \cosh \theta-2 \pi i \lambda_{0}}\right)\left(-i e^{\theta}+e^{\theta^{\prime}}\right)}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-i e^{\theta+\theta^{\prime}} & -i e^{\theta} \\
e^{\theta^{\prime}} & 1
\end{array}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{G(\theta+i \pi / 2 ; \lambda) e^{i m x \sinh \theta-m y \cosh \theta}}{\left(1-e^{-m \beta \cosh \theta-2 \pi i \lambda_{0}}\right)\left(i e^{\theta}+e^{\theta^{\prime}}\right)}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
i e^{\theta+\theta^{\prime}} & i e^{\theta} \\
e^{\theta^{\prime}} & 1
\end{array}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We cannot do the same thing the second time, since the function standing in the integral over $\theta^{\prime}$ has the poles $\theta^{\prime}=\theta \pm \frac{i \pi}{2}$. However, one can shift $C_{-}$and $C_{+}$to the contours $C_{-}^{\varepsilon, \theta}$ and $C_{+}^{\varepsilon, \theta}$ shown in Fig. 4, and then let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. The continuation of (3.29) to all $z, z^{\prime} \in \mathcal{C} \backslash b$ is then

$$
\begin{align*}
G^{0, \lambda}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)= & i m \sin \pi \lambda_{1} \sum_{\sigma= \pm 1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d \theta^{\prime}}{2 \pi} \\
& \times \frac{G\left(\theta+\frac{i \sigma \pi}{2} ; \lambda\right) G\left(\theta^{\prime}+\frac{i \sigma \pi}{2} ; 1-\lambda\right) e^{\theta^{\prime}}}{\left(1-e^{-\sigma m \beta \cosh \theta-2 \pi i \lambda_{0}}\right)\left(1-e^{-\sigma m \beta \cosh \theta^{\prime}+2 \pi i \lambda_{0}}\right)} \\
& \times \frac{e^{\sigma m\left(i x \sinh \theta-y \cosh \theta+i x^{\prime} \sinh \theta^{\prime}-y^{\prime} \cosh \theta^{\prime}\right)}}{e^{\theta}+e^{\theta^{\prime}}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-e^{\theta+\theta^{\prime}} & \sigma i e^{\theta} \\
\sigma i e^{\theta^{\prime}} & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& -i m \sin \pi \lambda_{1} \sum_{\sigma= \pm 1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \\
& \times \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi} P \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d \theta^{\prime}}{2 \pi} \frac{G\left(\theta+\frac{i \sigma \pi}{2} ; \lambda\right) G\left(\theta^{\prime}-\frac{i \sigma \pi}{2} ; 1-\lambda\right) e^{\theta^{\prime}}}{\left(1-e^{-\sigma m \beta \cosh \theta-2 \pi i \lambda_{0}}\right)\left(1-e^{\sigma m \beta} \cosh \theta^{\prime}+2 \pi i \lambda_{0}\right)} \\
& \times \frac{e^{\sigma m\left(i x \sinh \theta-y \cosh \theta-i x^{\prime} \sinh \theta^{\prime}+y^{\prime} \cosh \theta^{\prime}\right)}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
e^{\theta+\theta^{\prime}} & \sigma i e^{\theta} \\
-\sigma i e^{\theta^{\prime}} & 1
\end{array}\right)}{e^{\theta^{\prime}}-e^{\theta}} \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left\{G\left(z-z^{\prime} ; \lambda_{0}\right)+G\left(z-z^{\prime} ; \tilde{\lambda}\right)\right\} . \tag{3.31}
\end{align*}
$$

The last two terms are "pole contributions" that can be calculated using (3.27) and contour representations of the Green function on the cylinder without branchpoints.

If we perform the same manipulations with the representation (3.30) in the right half-strip, the final expression will coincide with (3.31) due to the relations (2.19) and (2.20) satisfied by the functions $G(\theta)$ and $H(\theta)$. Thus the formulae (3.29)-(3.31) indeed define the Green function $G^{0, \lambda}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)$.

## 4. Tau Functions

In this section we study the spaces of boundary values of some local solutions to the Dirac equation. These spaces can be embedded into an infinite-dimensional grassmannian.
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The $\tau$-functions are determined via the trivialization of the det*-bundle over this grassmannian.
4.1. Subspaces $W_{\text {int }}(a)$ and $W_{\text {ext }}(a)$. Let us consider a circle $L_{x_{0}}=\{(x, y) \in \mathcal{C}: x=$ $\left.x_{0}\right\}$, and denote by $H_{\lambda}^{1 / 2}\left(L_{x_{0}}\right)$ the space of $\mathbb{C}^{2}$-valued quasiperiodic functions on $L_{x_{0}}$. Namely, if $g \in H_{\lambda}^{1 / 2}\left(L_{x_{0}}\right)$, then $g(y+\beta)=e^{2 \pi i \lambda} g(y)$. After Fourier transform the function $g$ can be written as

$$
g(y)=\frac{2 \pi}{\beta} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}+\lambda} \hat{g}\left(\theta_{n}\right) e^{i m y \sinh \theta_{n}}, \quad \sinh \theta_{n}=\frac{2 \pi}{m \beta} n .
$$

Let us introduce two operators, $Q_{+}$and $Q_{-}$, acting on $H_{\lambda}^{1 / 2}\left(L_{x_{0}}\right)$ in the following way:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{ \pm} g(y) & =\frac{2 \pi}{\beta} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}+\lambda} Q_{ \pm}\left(\theta_{n}\right) \hat{g}\left(\theta_{n}\right) e^{i m y \sinh \theta_{n}}, \\
Q_{+}(\theta) & =\frac{1}{2 \cosh \theta}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
e^{\theta} & 1 \\
1 & e^{-\theta}
\end{array}\right), \quad Q_{-}(\theta)=\frac{1}{2 \cosh \theta}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
e^{-\theta} & -1 \\
-1 & e^{\theta}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

These operators have the properties of projectors,

$$
Q_{+}+Q_{-}=\mathbf{1}, \quad Q_{+}^{2}=Q_{+}, \quad Q_{-}^{2}=Q_{-}
$$

and thus define the splitting $H_{\lambda}^{1 / 2}\left(L_{x_{0}}\right)=H_{\lambda}^{+} \oplus H_{\lambda}^{-}$, with $H_{\lambda}^{ \pm}=Q_{ \pm} H_{\lambda}^{1 / 2}\left(L_{x_{0}}\right)$. One may easily verify that

$$
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}+\lambda}\left\|Q_{ \pm}\left(\theta_{n}\right) \hat{g}\left(\theta_{n}\right)\right\|^{2} \cosh \theta_{n}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}+\lambda}\left|g_{ \pm}\left(\theta_{n}\right)\right|^{2}
$$

where

$$
\binom{g_{+}\left(\theta_{n}\right)}{g_{-}\left(\theta_{n}\right)}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
v_{n}^{1 / 2} & v_{n}^{-1 / 2} \\
-v_{n}^{-1 / 2} & v_{n}^{1 / 2}
\end{array}\right)\binom{\hat{g}_{1}\left(\theta_{n}\right)}{\hat{g}_{2}\left(\theta_{n}\right)}, \quad v_{n} \equiv v\left(\theta_{n}\right)=e^{\theta_{n}} .
$$

Therefore, the function $g$ is expressed through its polarization components $g_{ \pm}\left(\theta_{n}\right)$ as

$$
g(y)=\frac{2 \pi}{\beta} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}+\lambda} \frac{e^{i m y \sinh \theta_{n}}}{2 \cosh \theta_{n}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
v_{n}^{1 / 2} & -v_{n}^{-1 / 2}  \tag{4.1}\\
v_{n}^{-1 / 2} & v_{n}^{1 / 2}
\end{array}\right)\binom{g_{+}\left(\theta_{n}\right)}{g_{-}\left(\theta_{n}\right)} .
$$

Let us now show that the elements of $H_{\lambda}^{-}\left(H_{\lambda}^{+}\right)$represent the boundary values of quasiperiodic solutions to the Dirac equation in the right (left) half-strip $x>x_{0}\left(x<x_{0}\right)$. To do this, rewrite the Dirac equation in the form

$$
\partial_{x} \psi=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-i \partial_{y} & m  \tag{4.2}\\
m & i \partial_{y}
\end{array}\right) \psi
$$

If we put the initial condition $\psi\left(x_{0}, y\right)=g\left(x_{0}, y\right)$ with $g\left(x_{0}, y\right) \in H_{\lambda}^{-}$(i.e. all $g_{+}\left(\theta_{n}\right)=$ 0 ), the solution of (4.2) in the right half-strip is

$$
\psi_{x>x_{0}}=\frac{2 \pi}{\beta} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}+\lambda} \frac{e^{i m y \sinh \theta_{n}-m\left(x-x_{0}\right) \cosh \theta_{n}}}{2 \cosh \theta_{n}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
v_{n}^{1 / 2} & -v_{n}^{-1 / 2} \\
v_{n}^{-1 / 2} & v_{n}^{1 / 2}
\end{array}\right)\binom{0}{g_{-}\left(\theta_{n}\right)} .
$$

The convergence of this series is guaranteed by its convergence for $x=x_{0}$. The solution of (4.2) in the left half-strip can be constructed from the element of $H_{\lambda}^{+}$in a similar fashion.

The Green function $G\left(z-z^{\prime} ; \lambda\right)$ on the cylinder without branchpoints provides a useful formula for the projections $Q_{ \pm}$.

Proposition 4.1. Consider the map $Q: H_{\lambda}^{1 / 2}\left(L_{x_{0}}\right) \rightarrow H_{\lambda}^{1}\left(\mathcal{C} \backslash L_{x_{0}}\right)$, defined by

$$
(Q g)(z)=i \int_{L_{x_{0}}} G\left(z-z^{\prime} ; \lambda\right) \sigma_{z} g\left(y^{\prime}\right) d y^{\prime}, \quad \sigma_{z}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0  \tag{4.3}\\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right), \quad g \in H_{\lambda}^{1 / 2}\left(L_{x_{0}}\right)
$$

Then the boundary values on $L_{x_{0}}$ of the restrictions of $(Q g)(z)$ to the left and right half-strip are equal to $Q_{+} g$ and $-Q_{-} g$ respectively.

- To prove the proposition, one has only to substitute in (4.3) the Fourier expansion (4.1) of $g$ and the representations (3.2) and (3.4) of the Green function.

Suppose no two branchpoints have the same first coordinate. Then one can isolate the branchcuts $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}$ in the open strips $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n}$ (Fig. 5). The union $\bigcup_{j=1}^{n} S_{j}$ will be denoted by $S$. Consider the subspace of $H^{1}(\mathcal{C} \backslash \bar{S})$ consisting of functions $\psi$ that satisfy on $\mathcal{C} \backslash \bar{S}$ the Dirac equation and appropriate quasiperiodicity conditions:

$$
\psi(x, y+\beta)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
e^{2 \pi i \lambda_{0}} \psi(x, y) \text { for } x<x_{1}^{L} \\
\exp \left\{2 \pi i \sum_{j=0}^{k} \lambda_{j}\right\} \psi(x, y) \text { for } x_{k}^{R}<x<x_{k+1}^{L} \\
\exp \left\{2 \pi i \sum_{j=0}^{n} \lambda_{j}\right\} \psi(x, y) \text { for } x>x_{n}^{R}
\end{array}\right.
$$

We will denote by $W_{\text {ext }}$ the space of boundary values of such functions. It is a subspace of $W$, the space of all quasiperiodic $\mathbb{C}^{2}$-valued $H^{1 / 2}$ functions on $\partial S$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=H_{\lambda_{0}}^{1 / 2}\left(L_{x_{1}^{L}}\right) \oplus H_{\lambda_{0}+\lambda_{1}}^{1 / 2}\left(L_{x_{1}^{R}}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus H_{\sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_{k}}^{1 / 2}\left(L_{x_{n}^{R}}\right) . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Analogously, $W_{\text {int }} \subset W$ is defined as the space of boundary values of functions $g \in \mathcal{D}^{a, \lambda}$ that solve $D^{a, \lambda} g=0$ on $S$.

The construction of the infinite-dimensional grassmannian in the next subsection heavily relies on the transversality of the subspaces $W_{\text {ext }}$ and $W_{\text {int }}$ in $W$. We postpone the proof of this fact; instead, let us explain how one can find the explicit formulae for the projections on these subspaces. Consider the restriction $g^{(i)}=\left.g\right|_{\partial^{L} S_{i} \cup \partial^{R} S_{i}}$ of an element $g \in W$ to the boundary of the strip $S_{i}$. It is convenient to introduce the notation

$$
g^{(i)}=\binom{g_{R++}^{(i)}}{g_{L,-}^{(i)}} \oplus\binom{g_{R,-}^{(i)}}{g_{L,+}^{(i)}}
$$
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Example. Assume for a moment that the strip $S_{i}$ contains no branchcuts at all, i. e. both $g_{L}^{(i)}$ and $g_{R}^{(i)}$ obey the same quasiperiodicity conditions, say,

$$
g_{L}^{(i)}(y+\beta)=e^{2 \pi i \lambda} g_{L}^{(i)}(y), \quad g_{R}^{(i)}(y+\beta)=e^{2 \pi i \lambda} g_{R}^{(i)}(y)
$$

Then the map

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{Q} g^{(i)}(z) & =i \int_{\partial^{L} S_{i} \cup \partial^{R} S_{i}} G\left(z-z^{\prime} ; \lambda\right) \sigma_{z} g^{(i)}\left(y^{\prime}\right) d y^{\prime} \\
& =\int_{\partial^{L} S_{i} \cup \partial^{R} S_{i}} G_{\cdot, 1}\left(z-z^{\prime} ; \lambda\right) g_{1}^{(i)}\left(z^{\prime}\right) d z^{\prime}+G_{\cdot, 2}\left(z-z^{\prime} ; \lambda\right) g_{2}^{(i)}\left(z^{\prime}\right) d \overline{z^{\prime}} \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

defines a function that satisfies the Dirac equation in $S_{i}$. After a simple calculation involving Fourier representations of $g^{(i)}$ and of the Green function, one obtains the explicit formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{Q} g^{(i)}(z)= & \frac{2 \pi}{\beta} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}+\lambda} \frac{e^{m\left(x-x_{i}^{R}\right) \cosh \theta_{n}+i m y \sinh \theta_{n}}}{2 \cosh \theta_{n}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
v_{n}^{1 / 2} & -v_{n}^{-1 / 2} \\
v_{n}^{-1 / 2} & v_{n}^{1 / 2}
\end{array}\right)\binom{g_{R,+}^{(i)}\left(\theta_{n}\right)}{0} \\
& +\frac{2 \pi}{\beta} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}+\lambda} \frac{e^{-m\left(x-x_{i}^{L}\right) \cosh \theta_{n}+i m y \sinh \theta_{n}}}{2 \cosh \theta_{n}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
v_{n}^{1 / 2} & -v_{n}^{-1 / 2} \\
v_{n}^{-1 / 2} & v_{n}^{1 / 2}
\end{array}\right)\binom{0}{g_{L,-}^{(i)}\left(\theta_{n}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Passing to boundary values, we see that $\tilde{Q}$ induces a map on $W$. It is given by

$$
\tilde{Q}:\binom{g_{R,+}^{(i)}}{g_{L,-}^{(i)}} \oplus\binom{g_{R,-}^{(i)}}{g_{L,+}^{(i)}} \mapsto\binom{g_{R,+}^{(i)}}{g_{L,-}^{(i)}} \oplus\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \hat{\omega} \\
\hat{\omega} & 0
\end{array}\right)\binom{g_{R,+}^{(i)}}{g_{L,-}^{(i)}},
$$

where $(\hat{\omega} g)\left(\theta_{n}\right)=e^{-m\left(x_{i}^{R}-x_{i}^{L}\right) \cosh \theta_{n}} g\left(\theta_{n}\right)$ in Fourier representation. Furthermore, $\tilde{Q}$ is a projection onto the space of solutions to the Dirac equation on $S_{i}$. If $g_{L}^{(i)}$ and $g_{R}^{(i)}$ represent boundary values of a function $f$ that belongs to this space, the one-form in (4.5) is closed, so the contour of integration can be shrunk to a small circle around $z$. Using the asymptotics of the Green function at $z^{\prime} \rightarrow z$, one obtains $\tilde{Q} f(z)=f(z)$.

The generalization of the example we have just considered to the strip containing a branchcut leads to the main technical result of this subsection:

Theorem 4.2. Suppose that $G^{a, \lambda}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)$ is the one-point Green function ${ }^{2}$ found in the previous section. Suppose that $a \in S^{\prime}, S^{\prime}=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathcal{C}: x_{L}<x<x_{R}\right\}$. Consider the function $g$ on $\partial S^{\prime}$, which satisfies $\left.g\right|_{\partial^{L} S^{\prime}} \in H_{\lambda_{0}}^{1 / 2}\left(\partial^{L} S^{\prime}\right),\left.g\right|_{\partial^{R} S^{\prime}} \in H_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{1 / 2}\left(\partial^{R} S^{\prime}\right)$. Then the map

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{S^{\prime}}(a) g(z)=\int_{\partial^{L} S^{\prime} \cup \partial^{R} S^{\prime}} G_{\cdot, 1}^{a, \lambda}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right) g_{1}\left(z^{\prime}\right) d z^{\prime}+G_{\cdot, 2}^{a, \lambda}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right) g_{2}\left(z^{\prime}\right) d \overline{z^{\prime}} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

defines a projection onto the space of functions $f \in \mathcal{D}^{a, \lambda}$ that solve $D^{a, \lambda} f=0$ on $S^{\prime}$. The induced map of boundary values is determined by the following formula:

$$
P_{S^{\prime}}(a):\binom{g_{R,+}}{g_{L,-}} \oplus\binom{g_{R,-}}{g_{L,+}} \mapsto\binom{g_{R,+}}{g_{L,-}} \oplus\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\hat{\alpha} & \hat{\beta}  \tag{4.7}\\
\hat{\gamma} & \hat{\delta}
\end{array}\right)\binom{g_{R,+}}{g_{L,-}},
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
(\hat{\alpha} g)\left(\theta_{l}\right)= & \frac{2 \sin \pi \lambda_{1}}{\beta} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}+\tilde{\lambda}} \frac{\left(v_{l} v_{n}\right)^{\lambda_{1}+\frac{1}{2}}}{1+v_{l} v_{n}} \frac{e^{-m\left(x_{R}-a_{x}\right)\left(\cosh \theta_{l}+\cosh \theta_{n}\right)-i m a_{y}\left(\sinh \theta_{l}-\sinh \theta_{n}\right)}}{\cosh \theta_{n}} \\
& \times e^{-\frac{i}{2}\left(v_{l}+v_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(\eta_{l}+\eta_{n}\right)} g\left(\theta_{n}\right), \quad l \in \mathbb{Z}+\tilde{\lambda},  \tag{4.8}\\
(\hat{\beta} g)\left(\theta_{l}\right)= & \frac{2 e^{-\pi i \lambda_{1}} \sin \pi \lambda_{1}}{\beta} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}+\lambda_{0}} \frac{e^{-m\left(x_{R}-a_{x}\right) \cosh \theta_{l}+m\left(x_{L}-a_{x}\right) \cosh \theta_{n}-i m a_{y}\left(\sinh \theta_{l}-\sinh \theta_{n}\right)}}{\cosh \theta_{n}} \\
& \times \frac{v_{l}^{\lambda_{l}+\frac{1}{2}} v_{n}^{-\lambda_{1}+\frac{1}{2}}}{v_{l}-v_{n}} e^{-\frac{i}{2}\left(v_{l}-v_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(\eta_{l}-\eta_{n}\right)} g\left(\theta_{n}\right), \quad l \in \mathbb{Z}+\tilde{\lambda},  \tag{4.9}\\
(\hat{\gamma} g)\left(\theta_{l}\right)= & -\frac{2 e^{\pi i \lambda_{1}} \sin \pi \lambda_{1}}{\beta} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}+\tilde{\lambda}} \frac{e^{m\left(x_{L}-a_{x}\right) \cosh \theta_{l}-m\left(x_{R}-a_{x}\right) \cosh \theta_{n}-i m a_{y}\left(\sinh \theta_{l}-\sinh \theta_{n}\right)}}{\cosh \theta_{n}} \\
& \times \frac{v_{l}^{-\lambda_{1}+\frac{1}{2}} v_{n}^{\lambda_{1}+\frac{1}{2}}}{v_{l}-v_{n}} e^{\frac{i}{2}\left(v_{l}-v_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\eta_{l}-\eta_{n}\right)} g\left(\theta_{n}\right), \quad l \in \mathbb{Z}+\lambda_{0},  \tag{4.10}\\
& \times e^{\frac{i}{2}\left(v_{l}+v_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\eta_{l}+\eta_{n}\right)} g\left(\theta_{n}\right), \quad l \in \mathbb{Z}+\lambda_{0},
\end{align*}
$$

and $\nu_{l}=v\left(\theta_{l} ; \lambda\right), \eta_{l}=\eta\left(\theta_{l} ; \lambda\right)$.
■ The derivation of (4.2)-(4.11) can be carried out analogously to the previous example, using two more (in addition to (3.28-3.30)) representations of the one-point Green function:

[^1]\[

$$
\begin{align*}
G^{a, \lambda}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)= & i \sin \pi \lambda_{1} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}+\lambda_{0}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}+\tilde{\lambda}} \frac{G\left(\theta_{l} ; \lambda\right) H\left(\theta_{n} ; 1-\lambda\right)}{m \beta^{2} \cosh \theta_{l} \cosh \theta_{n}} \frac{e^{\theta_{n}+m\left(x-a_{x}\right) \cosh \theta_{l}+i m\left(y-a_{y}\right) \sinh \theta_{l}}}{e^{\theta_{l}}-e^{\theta_{n}}} \\
& \times e^{-m\left(x^{\prime}-a_{x}\right) \cosh \theta_{n}-i m\left(y^{\prime}-a_{y}\right) \sinh \theta_{n}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
-e^{\theta_{l}+\theta_{n}} \\
-e^{\theta_{n}}
\end{array} \quad 1 . \quad \text { for } x<a_{x}<x^{\prime},\right.  \tag{4.12}\\
G^{a, \lambda}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)= & i \sin \pi \lambda_{1} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}+\lambda_{0}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}+\tilde{\lambda}} \frac{G\left(-\theta_{l} ; \lambda\right) H\left(-\theta_{n} ; 1-\lambda\right)}{m \beta^{2} \cosh \theta_{l} \cosh \theta_{n}} \frac{e^{-\theta_{n}-m\left(x-a_{x}\right) \cosh \theta_{l}+i m\left(y-a_{y}\right) \sinh \theta_{l}}}{e^{-\theta_{l}-e^{-\theta_{n}}}} \\
& \times e^{m\left(x^{\prime}-a_{x}\right) \cosh \theta_{n}-i m\left(y^{\prime}-a_{y}\right) \sinh \theta_{n}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-e^{-\theta_{l}-\theta_{n}} & -e^{-\theta_{l}} \\
e^{-\theta_{n}} & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { for } x>a_{x}>x^{\prime} . \tag{4.13}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

When one applies the Stokes theorem to prove the projection property, the contour of integration in (4.6) can be deformed into two small circles, around $z$ and $a$. Using the expansions (2.7), (3.11) of the multivalued local solution to Dirac equation and Green function, one easily shows that the second integral vanishes.
Remark. Let us choose in $H_{\lambda}^{1 / 2}(L)$ a complete orthonormal family $\left\{\varphi_{k}\right\}$, say,

$$
\varphi_{k}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}} e^{i m y \sinh \theta_{k}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}+\lambda .
$$

With these functions, we can find Schmidt norms of $\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}, \hat{\gamma}, \hat{\delta}$ and show that they are finite. For example,

$$
\|\hat{\beta}\|_{2}^{2}=\sum_{n, n^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|\left\langle\hat{\beta} \varphi_{n+\lambda_{0}}, \varphi_{n^{\prime}+\tilde{\lambda}}\right\rangle\right|^{2}=\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}+\tilde{\lambda}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}+\lambda_{0}}\left|\hat{\beta}\left(\theta_{l}, \theta_{n}\right)\right|^{2},
$$

where $\hat{\beta}\left(\theta_{l}, \theta_{n}\right)$ denotes the "kernel" of $\hat{\beta}$. This sum rapidly converges due to the exponential factors $e^{-m\left(x_{R}-a_{x}\right) \cosh \theta_{l}}$ and $e^{m\left(x_{L}-a_{x}\right) \cosh \theta_{n}}$ in $\hat{\beta}\left(\theta_{l}, \theta_{n}\right)$. Note, however, that in the limit $\beta \rightarrow \infty$, when $\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}, \hat{\gamma}, \hat{\delta}$ become integral operators, $\hat{\beta}$ and $\hat{\gamma}$ no longer belong to the Schmidt class due to the singularities in the kernels.

We briefly outline the proof of the transversality of the subspaces $W_{\text {int }}$ and $W_{\text {ext }}$ in $W$, closely following Palmer's work [10]. Suppose that $f \in W$ is decomposed as $f=g+h$, with $g \in W_{\text {int }}$ and $h \in W_{\text {ext }}$. Theorem 2.1 guarantees the uniqueness of this decomposition, since the elements of $W_{\text {int }} \cap W_{\text {ext }}$ represent the boundary values of the functions from $\widetilde{\mathbf{W}}^{a, \lambda}$. It remains to prove only the existence.

In order for $g$ to be in $W_{\text {int }}$, one should satisfy the conditions

$$
\binom{g_{R,-}^{(i)}}{g_{L,+}^{(i)}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\hat{\alpha}_{i} & \hat{\beta}_{i}  \tag{4.14}\\
\hat{\gamma}_{i} & \hat{\delta}_{i}
\end{array}\right)\binom{g_{R,+}^{(i)}}{g_{L,-}^{(i)}}
$$

where $\hat{\alpha}_{i}, \hat{\beta}_{i}, \hat{\gamma}_{i}, \hat{\delta}_{i}$ are obtained from $\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}, \hat{\gamma}, \hat{\delta}$ by the substitution

$$
x_{L} \rightarrow x_{i}^{L}, \quad x_{R} \rightarrow x_{i}^{R}, \quad a \rightarrow a_{i}, \quad \lambda_{0} \rightarrow \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \lambda_{k}, \quad \tilde{\lambda} \rightarrow \sum_{k=0}^{i} \lambda_{k} .
$$

Another set of relations follows from the assumption that $h \in W_{\text {ext }}$. Indeed, $h_{L}^{(1)}$ should represent the boundary value of a solution to Dirac equation in the left half-strip $x<x_{1}^{L}$;
analogously, $h_{R}^{(n)}$ is the boundary value of a solution in the half-strip $x>x_{n}^{R}$. This leads to two relations,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{L,-}^{(1)}=0, \quad h_{R,+}^{(n)}=0 . \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, the example we have considered shows that the functions $h_{R}^{(i)}$ and $h_{L}^{(i+1)}$ are boundary values of a solution in $x_{i}^{R}<x<x_{i+1}^{L}$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{L,-}^{(i+1)}=\hat{\omega}_{i} h_{R,-}^{(i)}, \quad h_{R,+}^{(i)}=\hat{\omega}_{i} h_{L,+}^{(i+1)}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n-1 \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{\omega}_{i}$ is obtained from $\hat{\omega}$ by the substitution

$$
x_{i}^{L} \rightarrow x_{i}^{R}, \quad x_{i}^{R} \rightarrow x_{i+1}^{L}, \quad \lambda \rightarrow \sum_{k=0}^{i} \lambda_{k}
$$

One can transform (4.15) and (4.16) into the conditions on $g$. Using (4.14) to eliminate all $g_{R,-}^{(i)}$ and $g_{L,+}^{(i)}$, we obtain a system of equations,

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{R,+}^{(i)}-\hat{\omega}_{i}\left(\hat{\gamma}_{i+1} g_{R,+}^{(i+1)}+\hat{\delta}_{i+1} g_{L,-}^{(i+1)}\right)=f_{R,+}^{(i)}-\hat{\omega}_{i} f_{L,+}^{(i+1)}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n-1,  \tag{4.17}\\
& g_{L,-}^{(i+1)}-\hat{\omega}_{i}\left(\hat{\alpha}_{i} g_{R,+}^{(i)}+\hat{\beta}_{i} g_{L,-}^{(i)}\right)=f_{L,-}^{(i+1)}-\hat{\omega}_{i} f_{R,-}^{(i)}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n-1,(  \tag{4.18}\\
& g_{L,-}^{(1)}=f_{L,-}^{(1)}, \quad g_{R,+}^{(n)}=f_{R,+}^{(n)} \tag{4.19}
\end{align*}
$$

If we introduce the notation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U_{i}=-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\hat{\omega}_{i} \hat{\gamma}_{i+1} & \hat{\omega}_{i} \hat{\delta}_{i+1} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad V_{i}=-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
\hat{\omega}_{i} \hat{\alpha}_{i} & \hat{\omega}_{i} \hat{\beta}_{i}
\end{array}\right), \quad i=1, \ldots, n-1, \\
& \tilde{g}_{j}=\binom{g_{R}^{(j)}+}{g_{L,-}^{(j)}}, \quad F_{k}=\binom{f_{R,+}^{(k)}-\hat{\omega}_{k} f_{L,+1}^{(k+1)}}{f_{L,-}^{(k)}-\hat{\omega}_{k-1} f_{R,-}^{(k-1)}}, \quad j=1, \ldots, n, \quad k=2, \ldots, n-1, \\
& F_{1}=\binom{f_{R,+}^{(1)}-\hat{\omega}_{1} f_{L,+}^{(2)}}{f_{L,-}^{(1)}}, \quad F_{n}=\binom{f_{R,+}^{(n)}}{f_{L,-}^{(n)}-\hat{\omega}_{n-1} f_{R,-}^{(n-1)}},
\end{aligned}
$$

the system (4.17)-(4.19) can be rewritten as

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathbf{1} & U_{1} & 0 & . & 0  \tag{4.20}\\
V_{1} & \mathbf{1} & U_{2} & . & 0 \\
0 & V_{2} & \mathbf{1} & \cdot & \cdot \\
. & \cdot & \cdot & . & U_{n-1} \\
0 & 0 & \cdot & V_{n-1} & \mathbf{1}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
\tilde{g}_{1} \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\dot{g}_{n}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
F_{1} \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
F_{n}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The operator, standing in the LHS of (4.20), represents a compact perturbation of the identity, and thus is Fredholm of index zero. Since to every nontrivial element of its kernel there corresponds a nontrivial element of $W_{\text {int }} \cap W_{\text {ext }}$, the kernel should be zero. Therefore, this operator is invertible and can be used to construct the decomposition $f=g+h$ explicitly.
4.2. Grassmannian, det*-bundle and its trivialization. First we introduce several important definitions, following Segal and Wilson [18] (further details can be found in [14, 19]).

Definition 4.3. Suppose we have a complex Hilbert space $H$ with a given decomposition $H=H_{+} \oplus H_{-}$. The Grassmannian $G r(H)$ is a set of all closed subspaces $V \subset H$ such that

- the projection $\mathrm{pr}_{+}: V \rightarrow H_{+}$along $H_{-}$is a Fredholm operator;
- the projection $\mathrm{pr}_{-}: V \rightarrow H_{-}$along $H_{+}$is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator.

The first requirement means that the codimension of $V \cap H_{+}$is finite in both $V$ and $H_{+}$. The connected components of the Grassmannian are distinguished by the value of the index of $\mathrm{pr}_{+}$. We shall work only with the component $G r_{0}(H)$ that corresponds to zero index.

Definition 4.4. The invertible linear map $v: H_{+} \rightarrow V$ is called an admissible frame for the subspace $V \in G r_{0}(H)$ if $\mathrm{pr}_{+} \circ v: H_{+} \rightarrow H_{+}$is a trace class perturbation of the identity. The fiber of the $\operatorname{det}^{*}$-bundle over $V$ consists of the equivalence classes of pairs $(v, \alpha)$, where $v$ is an admissible frame, $\alpha$ is a complex number and $\left(v_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right) \sim\left(v_{2}, \alpha_{2}\right)$ if $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2} \operatorname{det}\left(v_{2}^{-1} v_{1}\right)$. The canonical section of the $\operatorname{det}^{*}$-bundle is given by $\sigma: V \mapsto\left(v\right.$, $\left.\operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{pr}_{+} \circ v\right)\right)$.

In the work of Segal and Wilson, as well as in almost all subsequent papers on the subject, the Hilbert space $H$ is the space of all square-integrable complex-valued functions on the unit circle $S^{1}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|=1\}$. $H_{+}$and $H_{-}$are spanned by the elements $\left\{z^{k}\right\}$ with $k \geq 0$ and $k<0$, respectively.

We are interested in a more complicated model of the Grassmannian. $H$ is identified with the space $W$ (see (4.4)) of square-integrable quasiperiodic $\mathbb{C}^{2}$-valued functions on the boundary $\partial S$. Let us fix a collection of points $a^{0}=\left(a_{1}^{0}, \ldots, a_{n}^{0}\right)$ such that $a_{j}^{0} \in S_{j}$, $j=1, \ldots, n$. Then one can define the Grassmannian $\operatorname{Gr}(W)$ with respect to the splitting $W=W_{\text {int }}\left(a^{0}\right) \oplus W_{\text {ext }}$. The crucial observation, similar to one made by Palmer in [10], is that $W_{\text {int }}(a) \in G r_{0}(W)$.

Now introduce two admissible frames for the subspace $W_{\text {int }}(a)$. The first one, which will be denoted as $P(a): W_{\text {int }}\left(a^{0}\right) \rightarrow W_{\text {int }}(a)$, represents the projection of $W_{\text {int }}\left(a^{0}\right)$ on $W_{\text {int }}(a)$ along $W_{\text {ext }}$. It is easy to guess that $P(a)$ inverts $\mathrm{pr}_{+}$. Thus the canonical section can be written as $\sigma: W_{\text {int }}(a) \mapsto(P(a), 1)$. The second admissible frame, $F(a): W_{\text {int }}\left(a^{0}\right) \rightarrow W_{\text {int }}(a)$, is the restriction to $W_{\text {int }}\left(a^{0}\right)$ of the direct sum of the appropriate one-point projections (4.6)-(4.7): $F(a)=\left.\left(P_{S_{1}}\left(a_{1}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus P_{S_{n}}\left(a_{n}\right)\right)\right|_{W_{\text {int }}\left(a^{0}\right)}$. It defines a second, trivializing section $\vartheta: W_{\text {int }}(a) \mapsto(F(a), 1)$. The determinant of the Dirac operator $D^{a, \lambda}$, or the $\tau$-function, is determined from the comparison of the two sections,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau\left(a, a^{0}\right)=\frac{\sigma\left(W_{\text {int }}(a)\right)}{\vartheta\left(W_{\text {int }}(a)\right)}=\operatorname{det}\left(P(a)^{-1} F(a)\right) \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark. This ideology originates from the work [9], where the isomonodromic $\tau$-function, associated to a fuchsian system on $\mathbb{C P}^{1}$, was interpreted as the determinant of a Cauchy-Riemann operator, whose domain incorporates functions with specified branching.

In order to calculate $\tau\left(a, a^{0}\right)$ more explicitly, let us use several results and notations from the previous subsection. Suppose that $f \in W_{\text {int }}\left(a^{0}\right), g \in W_{\text {int }}(a)$, then

$$
f^{(j)}=\tilde{f}_{j} \oplus N_{j}\left(a^{0}\right) \tilde{f}_{j}, \quad g^{(j)}=\tilde{g}_{j} \oplus N_{j}(a) \tilde{g}_{j}, \quad N_{j}(a)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\hat{\alpha}_{j}(a)  \tag{4.22}\\
\hat{\gamma}_{j}(a) \\
\hat{\delta}_{j}(a)
\end{array}\right)
$$

The functions $f$ and $g$ can be represented by columns

$$
f=\left(\tilde{f}_{1} \ldots \tilde{f}_{n}\right)^{T}, \quad g=\left(\tilde{g}_{1} \ldots \tilde{g}_{n}\right)^{T}
$$

The map $F(a): W_{\text {int }}\left(a^{0}\right) \rightarrow W_{\text {int }}(a)$ is given in these coordinates by the identity transformation. To obtain the representation of $P(a)^{-1}$, for each $g \in W_{\text {int }}(a)$ one should find a function $f \in W_{\text {int }}\left(a^{0}\right)$ such that $g=f-h$ with $h \in W_{\text {ext }}$. This amounts to the same calculation as we have done earlier in (4.15)-(4.20). Taking into account the additional condition (4.22) on $f$, one finally obtains

$$
(\mathbf{1}+M(a)) g=\left(\mathbf{1}+M\left(a^{0}\right)\right) f
$$

where

$$
M(a)=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & U_{1}(a) & 0 & . & 0 \\
V_{1}(a) & 0 & U_{2}(a) & . & 0 \\
0 & V_{2}(a) & 0 & \cdot & \cdot \\
. & . & \cdot & \cdot & U_{n-1}(a) \\
0 & 0 & \cdot & V_{n-1}(a) & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Therefore, the $\tau$-function is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau\left(a, a^{0}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left\{(\mathbf{1}+M(a))\left(\mathbf{1}+M\left(a^{0}\right)\right)^{-1}\right\} \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, one can derive an even more convenient representation. Let us introduce the matrix

$$
\tilde{M}(a)=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & \tilde{U}_{1}(a) & 0 & . & 0 \\
\tilde{V}_{1}(a) & 0 & \tilde{U}_{2}(a) & . & 0 \\
0 & \tilde{V}_{2}(a) & 0 & . & \cdot \\
. & . & . & . & \tilde{U}_{n-1}(a) \\
0 & 0 & . & \tilde{V}_{n-1}(a) & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

with

$$
\tilde{U}_{j}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\hat{\omega}_{j} \hat{\gamma}_{j+1} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \tilde{V}_{j}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0-\hat{\omega}_{j} \hat{\beta}_{j}
\end{array}\right), \quad j=1, \ldots, n-1 .
$$

The matrix $\mathbf{1}+\tilde{M}(a)$ is a product of an upper triangular and a lower triangular matrix with identities on the diagonals. Thus we have

$$
\operatorname{det}\left\{\left(\mathbf{1}+\tilde{M}\left(a^{0}\right)\right)(\mathbf{1}+\tilde{M}(a))^{-1}\right\}=1
$$

Multiplying the RHS of (4.23) by this determinant, one finds

$$
\tau\left(a, a^{0}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left\{(\mathbf{1}+\tilde{M}(a))^{-1}(\mathbf{1}+M(a))\left(\mathbf{1}+M\left(a^{0}\right)\right)^{-1}\left(\mathbf{1}+\tilde{M}\left(a^{0}\right)\right)\right\}=\frac{\tau(a)}{\tau\left(a^{0}\right)},
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(a)=\operatorname{det}\left\{(\mathbf{1}+\tilde{M}(a))^{-1}(\mathbf{1}+M(a))\right\} . \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example. Consider the simplest nontrivial situation, when there are only two branching points on the cylinder. Let us introduce the notation

$$
\tilde{\lambda}=\lambda_{0}+\lambda_{1}, \quad \bar{\lambda}=\lambda_{0}+\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}, \quad a_{x}=\left(a_{2}\right)_{x}-\left(a_{1}\right)_{x}, \quad a_{y}=\left(a_{2}\right)_{y}-\left(a_{1}\right)_{y} .
$$

In the case $n=2$ the inverse matrix $(1+\tilde{M}(a))^{-1}$ looks particularly simple,

$$
(\mathbf{1}+\tilde{M}(a))^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{1} & -\tilde{U}_{1}(a) \\
-\tilde{V}_{1}(a) & \mathbf{1}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Using this formula, one can find that the two-point $\tau$-function is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(a)=\operatorname{det}(\mathbf{1}-K), \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the operator $K=\hat{\omega}_{1} \hat{\alpha}_{1} \hat{\omega}_{1} \hat{\delta}_{2}$ can be represented by the infinite-dimensional matrix with the entries

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{m n}= & \frac{4 \sin \pi \lambda_{1} \sin \pi \lambda_{2}}{\beta^{2}} \frac{\left(v_{m} v_{n}\right)^{\frac{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}+1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\cosh \theta_{m} \cosh \theta_{n}}} \\
& \times \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}+\tilde{\lambda}} \frac{v_{l}^{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}+1} e^{-m\left|a_{x}\right| \frac{\cosh \theta_{m}+2 \cosh \theta_{l}+\cosh \theta_{n}}{2}}+i m a_{y} \frac{\sinh \theta_{m}-2 \sinh \theta_{l}+\sinh \theta_{n}}{2}+\frac{\rho\left(\theta_{m}\right)+2 \rho\left(\theta_{l}\right)+\rho\left(\theta_{n}\right)}{2}}{\left(1+v_{m} v_{l}\right)\left(1+v_{l} v_{n}\right) \cosh \theta_{l}} . \tag{4.26}
\end{align*}
$$

The indices take on the values $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}+\tilde{\lambda}$ and

$$
2 \rho(\theta)=\eta(\theta ; \tilde{\lambda}, \bar{\lambda})-\eta\left(\theta ; \lambda_{0}, \tilde{\lambda}\right)+i \nu(\theta ; \tilde{\lambda}, \bar{\lambda})-i \nu\left(\theta ; \lambda_{0}, \tilde{\lambda}\right)
$$

One can also write $K$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
K & =4 \sin \pi \lambda_{1} \sin \pi \lambda_{2} \cdot V V^{T}, \\
V_{m n} & =\frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\left(v_{m} v_{n}\right)^{\frac{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}+1}{2}} e^{-m\left|a_{x}\right| \frac{\cosh \theta_{m}+\cosh \theta_{n}}{2}}+i m a_{y} \frac{\sinh \theta_{m}-\sinh \theta_{n}}{2}+\frac{\rho\left(\theta_{m}\right)+\rho\left(\theta_{n}\right)}{2}}{\sqrt{\cosh \theta_{m} \cosh \theta_{n}}\left(1+v_{m} v_{n}\right)}, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z}+\tilde{\lambda} .
\end{aligned}
$$

These explicit formulae for the $\tau$-function are in some sense a reward for the technical work put in the calculation of the element of canonical basis on the 1-punctured cylinder (Theorem 2.3). It would be interesting to compare them with the correlation functions of twisted fields, calculated in the lattice regularization of the Dirac theory on the plane [4].

Remark that the final answer (4.25)-(4.26) for the two-point $\tau$-function is independent of the choice of localization (coordinates of edges of the strips $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n}$ ). To show that this is true in the general case, we prove

Proposition 4.5. The logarithmic derivatives of the $\tau$-function (4.21) are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \ln \tau\left(a, a^{0}\right)=\frac{m}{2} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n}\left\{a_{1 / 2}^{(\nu)}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{v}(\lambda)\right) d a_{v}+\overline{a_{1 / 2}^{(\nu)}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{v}(-\lambda)\right)} d \bar{a}_{v}\right\} . \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the $n$-point Green function $G^{a, \lambda}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)$ and construct the map $\tilde{P}(a): W \rightarrow$ $W_{\text {int }}(a)$ in the following way:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{P}(a) f(z)=\int_{\partial S} G_{\cdot, 1}^{a, \lambda}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right) f_{1}\left(z^{\prime}\right) d z^{\prime}+G_{\cdot, 2}^{a, \lambda}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right) f_{2}\left(z^{\prime}\right) d \overline{z^{\prime}} \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that this map defines the projection on $W_{\text {int }}(a)$ along $W_{\text {ext }}$. Indeed, let us write the function $f(z)$ as $f=g+h$, with $g \in W_{\text {int }}(a)$ and $h \in W_{\text {ext }}$. The form in the integral $\tilde{P}(a) g(z)$ is closed, thus each piece $\partial S_{\mu}$ of the integration contour can be shrunk up to two small circles, around $z^{\prime}=z$ and $z^{\prime}=a_{\mu}$. Computing the residues, we obtain $\tilde{P}(a) g(z)=g(z)$. In a similar fashion one also shows that $\tilde{P}(a) h(z)=0$.

It is clear that the admissible frame $P(a): W_{\text {int }}\left(a^{0}\right) \rightarrow W_{\text {int }}(a)$ and the projection $\mathrm{pr}_{+}: W_{\text {int }}(a) \rightarrow W_{\text {int }}\left(a^{0}\right)$ are the restrictions

$$
P(a)=\left.\tilde{P}(a)\right|_{W_{\text {int }}\left(a^{0}\right)}, \quad \operatorname{pr}_{+}=\left.\tilde{P}\left(a^{0}\right)\right|_{W_{\text {int }}(a)}
$$

Let us analogously consider the map $\tilde{F}\left(\underset{\tilde{F}}{(a)}: W \rightarrow W_{\text {int }}(a)\right.$, which is by definition the direct sum of the one-point projections, $\tilde{F}(a)=P_{S_{1}}\left(a_{1}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus P_{S_{n}}\left(a_{n}\right)$. The second admissible frame that we have used, $F(a): W_{\text {int }}\left(a^{0}\right) \rightarrow W_{\text {int }}(a)$, is the restriction $F(a)=\left.\tilde{F}(a)\right|_{W_{\text {int }}\left(a^{0}\right)}$. Its inverse, which we denote as $F\left(a^{0}\right): W_{\text {int }}(a) \rightarrow W_{\text {int }}\left(a^{0}\right)$, is given by $F\left(a^{0}\right)=\left.\tilde{F}\left(a^{0}\right)\right|_{W_{\text {int }}(a)}$.

Therefore, differentiating (4.21), one obtains

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \ln \tau\left(a, a^{0}\right) & =-\operatorname{Tr}\left\{d\left(F(a)^{-1} P(a)\right) P(a)^{-1} F(a)\right\} \\
& =-\operatorname{Tr}\left\{F\left(a^{0}\right) d(P(a)) \mathrm{pr}_{+} F(a)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall that the traces in the last formula, and the determinant in (4.21) as well, are calculated on the subspace $W_{\text {int }}\left(a^{0}\right)$. However, since the range of both $F\left(a^{0}\right)$ and $\tilde{F}\left(a^{0}\right)$ is $W_{\text {int }}\left(a^{0}\right)$, we can forget about this restriction and replace under the last trace $P(a)$ by $\tilde{P}(a), F(a)$ by $\tilde{F}(a), \mathrm{pr}_{+}$by $\tilde{P}\left(a^{0}\right)$ and $F\left(a^{0}\right)$ by $\tilde{F}\left(a^{0}\right)$. If we also use the relations

$$
\tilde{P}(a)\left(\mathbf{1}-\tilde{P}\left(a^{0}\right)\right)=0, \quad \tilde{F}(a)\left(\mathbf{1}-\tilde{F}\left(a^{0}\right)\right)=0
$$

the trace becomes

$$
d \ln \tau\left(a, a^{0}\right)=-\operatorname{Tr}_{W}\left\{\tilde{F}\left(a^{0}\right) d(\tilde{P}(a)) \tilde{P}\left(a^{0}\right) \tilde{F}(a)\right\}=-\operatorname{Tr}_{W}\{d(\tilde{P}(a)) \tilde{F}(a)\}
$$

Taking into account the explicit form (4.28) of $\tilde{P}(a)$ and the formulae (3.23)-(3.24) for the derivatives of the Green function, one can show that $d \tilde{P}(a)$ is an integral operator with degenerate kernel. Then we have, for example,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{a_{v}} \ln \tau\left(a, a^{0}\right)= & \frac{i m^{2}}{8 \sin \pi \lambda_{v}} \sum_{\mu=1}^{n} \int_{\partial S_{\mu}}\left\{\left(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{v}(z,-\lambda)\right)_{1}\left(P_{S_{\mu}}\left(a_{\mu}\right) \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{v}(z, \lambda)\right)_{1} d z\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{v}(z,-\lambda)\right)_{2}\left(P_{S_{\mu}}\left(a_{\mu}\right) \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{v}(z, \lambda)\right)_{2} d \bar{z}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Again applying the Stokes theorem, each contour $\partial S_{\mu}$ can be shrunk to a small circle around $a_{\mu}$. Only one circle, around $a_{v}$, gives a non-zero contribution, which can be calculated using the asymptotics of the one-point Green function. At the end of this calculation one finds

$$
\partial_{a_{v}} \ln \tau\left(a, a^{0}\right)=\frac{m}{2} a_{1 / 2}^{(\nu)}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{v}(\lambda)\right), \quad \partial_{\bar{a}_{v}} \ln \tau\left(a, a^{0}\right)=\frac{m}{2} \overline{a_{1 / 2}^{(\nu)}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{v}(-\lambda)\right)},
$$

as claimed.

## 5. Deformation Equations

Let us now find the differential equations satisfied by the elements (3.20), using the idea that we have already exploited in the calculation of the derivative of the Green function. For example, consider the solution $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\mu}(\lambda)$ and differentiate it with respect to $a_{\rho}$. We obtain again a solution of the Dirac equation but with more singular local expansions at the branchpoints:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{a_{\rho}} \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\mu}(\lambda)\left[a_{\nu}\right]= & \sum_{k>0}\left\{\partial_{a_{\rho}} a_{k}^{(\nu)}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\mu}(\lambda)\right) w_{k+\lambda_{\nu}}\left[a_{\nu}\right]+\partial_{a_{\rho}} b_{k}^{(\nu)}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\mu}(\lambda)\right) w_{k-\lambda_{\nu}}^{*}\left[a_{\nu}\right]\right\} \\
& -\frac{m}{2} \delta_{\rho \nu}\left[\delta_{\mu \nu} w_{-3 / 2+\lambda_{\nu}}\left[a_{\nu}\right]+\sum_{k>0}\left\{a_{k}^{(\nu)}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\mu}(\lambda)\right) w_{k-1+\lambda_{\nu}}\left[a_{\nu}\right]\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+b_{k}^{(\nu)}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\mu}(\lambda)\right) w_{k+1-\lambda_{\nu}}^{*}\left[a_{\nu}\right]\right\}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Adding the appropriate linear combination of $\left\{\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\eta}(\lambda)\right\},\left\{\partial_{z} \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\eta}(\lambda)\right\}$ and $\left\{\partial_{z} \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\eta}(\lambda)\right\}$ ( $\eta=$ $1, \ldots, n$ ), one can annihilate the coefficients near the "extra" terms $w_{-3 / 2+\lambda_{v}}$ and $w_{-1 / 2+\lambda_{\nu}}$. Then the result will vanish identically, since it is clearly in $\widetilde{\mathbf{W}}^{a, \lambda}$. This observation can be written in the following general form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{a, \bar{a}} \overrightarrow{\mathbf{w}}(\lambda)=\left(\Phi \partial_{z}+\Phi^{*} \partial_{\bar{z}}+\Psi\right) \overrightarrow{\mathbf{w}}(\lambda) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $d_{a, \bar{a}}=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(d a_{j} \cdot \partial_{a_{j}}+d \bar{a}_{j} \cdot \partial_{\bar{a}_{j}}\right)$ denotes the differential with respect to the positions of the singularities, $\Phi, \Phi^{*}$ and $\Psi$ are matrix-valued one-forms, and $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{w}}(\lambda)=$ $\left(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{1}(\lambda) \ldots \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{n}(\lambda)\right)^{T}$.

Let us introduce the notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{j}=\left[a_{j+1 / 2}^{(\nu)}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\mu}(\lambda)\right)\right]_{\mu, \nu=1, \ldots, n}, \quad C_{j}^{*}=\left[b_{j-1 / 2}^{(\nu)}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\mu}(\lambda)\right)\right]_{\mu, \nu=1, \ldots, n}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, one has $C_{0}=\mathbf{1}$ and $C_{j}=C_{j}^{*}=\mathbf{0}$ for $j<0$. The system (5.1), being rewritten in terms of $\left\{C_{j}\right\},\left\{C_{j}^{*}\right\}$, amounts to

$$
\begin{align*}
& d C_{j}-\frac{m}{2} C_{j+1} d A-\frac{m}{2} C_{j-1} d \bar{A}=\frac{m}{2} \Phi C_{j+1}+\frac{m}{2} \Phi^{*} C_{j-1}+\Psi C_{j}  \tag{5.3}\\
& d C_{j}^{*}-\frac{m}{2} C_{j-1}^{*} d A-\frac{m}{2} C_{j+1}^{*} d \bar{A}=\frac{m}{2} \Phi C_{j-1}^{*}+\frac{m}{2} \Phi^{*} C_{j+1}^{*}+\Psi C_{j}^{*} \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $d A=\left(\delta_{\mu \nu} d a_{\nu}\right)_{\mu, \nu=1, \ldots, n}$ and $d \bar{A}=\left(\delta_{\mu \nu} d \bar{a}_{\nu}\right)_{\mu, \nu=1, \ldots, n}$.
Note that the expansion coefficients obey a set of algebraic relations. To derive them, let us first consider two multivalued solutions to Dirac equation, $u$ and $v$, that are square integrable at $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ and have the local expansions (2.3) at the singularities. We shall assume that there exists a negative half-integer number $k_{0}$ such that

$$
a_{k}^{(\nu)}(u)=b_{k}^{(\nu)}(u)=a_{k}^{(\nu)}(v)=b_{k}^{(\nu)}(v)=0, \quad v=1, \ldots, n,
$$

for all $k<k_{0}$. Using (2.9) and Stokes theorem, calculate in two different ways the integral

$$
\frac{m^{2}}{2} \int_{\mathcal{C} \backslash \bigcup_{v} D_{\varepsilon}\left(a_{v}\right)}\left(\bar{u}_{1} v_{1}+\bar{u}_{2} v_{2}\right) i d z \wedge d \bar{z}=i m \oint_{V} \oint_{V} \partial D_{\varepsilon}\left(a_{v}\right) \quad \bar{u}_{2} v_{1} d z=-i m \oint_{\varepsilon}\left(a_{v}\right)
$$

Comparing the asymptotics of the corresponding boundary integrals as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}}\left\{\overline{b_{k}^{(\nu)}(u)} a_{-k}^{(\nu)}(v)-\overline{a_{-k}^{(\nu)}(u)} b_{k}^{(\nu)}(v)\right\}(-1)^{k-1 / 2} \sin \pi \lambda_{\nu}=0 \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we now put $u=\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\mu}, v=\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\rho}$, then (5.5) leads to the relation (analogous to (2.13))

$$
\overline{b_{1 / 2}^{(\rho)}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\mu}\right)} \sin \pi \lambda_{\rho}=b_{1 / 2}^{(\mu)}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\rho}\right) \sin \pi \lambda_{\mu},
$$

or, in matrix notation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0}^{*} \sin \pi \Lambda=\left[\overline{C_{0}^{*}} \sin \pi \Lambda\right]^{T}, \quad \Lambda=\left(\delta_{\mu \nu} \lambda_{\nu}\right)_{\mu, \nu=1, \ldots, n} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, the substitution $u=\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\mu}(\lambda), v=\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{v}^{*}(-\lambda)$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}(\lambda) \sin \pi \Lambda=\left[C_{1}(-\lambda) \sin \pi \Lambda\right]^{T} . \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, observe that the entries of the $n$-dimensional vector $\partial_{\bar{z}} \overrightarrow{\mathbf{w}}(\lambda)-\frac{m}{2} C_{0}^{*}(\lambda) \overrightarrow{\mathbf{w}}^{*}(-\lambda)$ belong to $\tilde{\mathbf{W}}^{a, \lambda}$ and thus are all equal to zero. This gives two more relations,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0}^{*}(\lambda) \overline{C_{1}(-\lambda)}=C_{1}^{*}(\lambda), \quad C_{0}^{*}(\lambda) \overline{C_{0}^{*}(-\lambda)}=\mathbf{1} . \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that for positive $\lambda_{\mu}, \lambda_{\nu}$ both $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\mu}(\lambda), \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\nu}(\lambda) \in \mathbf{W}^{a, \lambda}$, so we can calculate the inner product:

$$
\left\langle\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\mu}(\lambda), \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\nu}(\lambda)\right\rangle=-4 \overline{b_{1 / 2}^{(\nu)}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\mu}(\lambda)\right)} \sin \pi \lambda_{\nu}
$$

This shows that the submatrix of $C_{0}^{*}(\lambda) \sin \pi \Lambda$, associated with the indices corresponding to positive $\left\{\lambda_{\rho}\right\}$, is negative definite. In a similar fashion, one finds

$$
\left\langle\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\mu}^{*}(-\lambda), \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{v}^{*}(-\lambda)\right\rangle=4 \overline{4 b_{1 / 2}^{(\mu)}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{v}(-\lambda)\right)} \sin \pi \lambda_{\mu}
$$

for negative $\lambda_{\mu}, \lambda_{\nu}$. Combining this formula with the second relation in (5.8), one can prove that the submatrix of $\left(C_{0}^{*}(\lambda)\right)^{-1} \sin \pi \Lambda$, that corresponds to the "negative" indices, is positive definite.

Let us return to deformation equations (5.3) and (5.4). In order to determine the unknown matrix-valued forms $\Phi$ and $\Phi^{*}$, let $j=-1$. One then finds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi=-d A, \quad \Phi^{*}=-C_{0}^{*} d \bar{A}\left(C_{0}^{*}\right)^{-1} \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Specializing to the case $j=0$, we calculate the form $\Psi$ and obtain a matrix equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi=\frac{m}{2}\left[d A, C_{1}\right]=d C_{0}^{*}\left(C_{0}^{*}\right)^{-1}+\frac{m}{2}\left[C_{0}^{*} d \bar{A}\left(C_{0}^{*}\right)^{-1}, C_{1}^{*}\left(C_{0}^{*}\right)^{-1}\right] . \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $j=1$, higher order coefficients arise. However, the "antiholomorphic" part of (5.3) and "holomorphic" part of (5.4) comprise only the coefficients that are already involved:

$$
\begin{align*}
d_{\bar{a}} C_{1}+\frac{m}{2}\left[C_{0}^{*} d \bar{A},\left(C_{0}^{*}\right)^{-1}\right] & =0  \tag{5.11}\\
d_{a} C_{1}^{*}+\frac{m}{2}\left[d A, C_{0}^{*}\right]-\frac{m}{2}\left[d A, C_{1}\right] C_{1}^{*} & =0 \tag{5.12}
\end{align*}
$$

where $d_{a}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} d a_{j} \cdot \partial_{a_{j}}$ and $d_{\bar{a}}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} d \bar{a}_{j} \cdot \partial_{\bar{a}_{j}}$ In addition, the diagonal part of (5.3) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{a} \operatorname{diag} C_{1}=\frac{m}{2} \operatorname{diag}\left(\left[d A, C_{1}\right] C_{1}\right) . \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to write the deformation equations in more compact and standard form, introduce the notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=C_{0}^{*} \sin \pi \Lambda, \quad \Theta=\frac{m}{2}\left[d A, C_{1}\right], \quad \Theta^{\dagger}=\bar{\Theta}^{T} \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the symmetry relations (5.6)-(5.8), one can show that (5.10) transforms into

$$
\begin{equation*}
d G=\Theta G+G \Theta^{\dagger} \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Instead of Eqs. (5.11) and (5.12) we have two conjugate relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\bar{a}} C_{1}=\frac{m}{2}[d \bar{A}, G] G^{-1}, \quad d_{a} \bar{C}_{1}=\frac{m}{2}[d A, \bar{G}] \bar{G}^{-1}, \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the last Eq. (5.13) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{a} \operatorname{diag} C_{1}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\Theta C_{1}\right) \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

We easily find from (5.14) and (5.15) that $\operatorname{det} G=$ const. It is also very instructive to deduce the closedness of the 1 -form

$$
\Omega=\frac{m}{2} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n}\left\{a_{1 / 2}^{(\nu)}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{v}(\lambda)\right) d a_{v}+\overline{a_{1 / 2}^{(\nu)}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\nu}(-\lambda)\right)} d \bar{a}_{v}\right\}=\frac{m}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(C_{1} d A+\bar{C}_{1} d \bar{A}\right),
$$

standing in the RHS of (4.27), from the deformation equations. Indeed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \Omega & =\frac{m}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\Theta C_{1} \wedge d A+\frac{m}{2}[d \bar{A}, G] G^{-1} \wedge d A+\bar{\Theta} \bar{C}_{1} \wedge d \bar{A}+\frac{m}{2}[d A, \bar{G}] \bar{G}^{-1} \wedge d \bar{A}\right) \\
& =-\frac{m^{2}}{4} \operatorname{Tr}\left(C_{1} d A \wedge C_{1} d A+\bar{C}_{1} d \bar{A} \wedge \bar{C}_{1} d \bar{A}+G d \bar{A} \wedge G^{-1} d A+\bar{G} d A \wedge \bar{G}^{-1} d \bar{A}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

so the form $\Omega$ does represent the differential of a function.
Example. As an illustration, let us find the explicit form of the deformation equations in the case $n=2$. Suppose that $\lambda_{1}>0$ and $\lambda_{2}<0$. Then $G_{11}<0$, $\operatorname{det} G<0$, and the matrix $G$ can be parametrized in the following way:

$$
G=\chi\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-e^{\eta} \sin \psi & e^{i \varphi} \cos \psi \\
e^{-i \varphi} \cos \psi & e^{-\eta} \sin \psi
\end{array}\right), \quad \chi, \eta, \psi, \varphi \in \mathbb{R}
$$

where $0<\psi<\pi, \chi>0$. We shall also denote

$$
C_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\Lambda_{11} \Lambda_{12} \\
\Lambda_{21} & \Lambda_{22}
\end{array}\right), \quad q=m\left(a_{2}-a_{1}\right) / 2, \quad \bar{q}=m\left(\bar{a}_{2}-\bar{a}_{1}\right) / 2
$$

From (5.15) one obtains

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial G}{\partial q} & =-\chi^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\Lambda_{12} e^{-i \varphi} \cos \psi & \Lambda_{12} e^{-\eta} \sin \psi \\
\Lambda_{21} e^{\eta} \sin \psi & -\Lambda_{21} e^{i \varphi} \cos \psi
\end{array}\right) \\
\frac{\partial G}{\partial \bar{q}} & =-\chi^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\bar{\Lambda}_{12} e^{i \varphi} \cos \psi & \bar{\Lambda}_{21} e^{\eta} \sin \psi \\
\bar{\Lambda}_{12} e^{-\eta} \sin \psi & -\bar{\Lambda}_{21} e^{-i \varphi} \cos \psi
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This leads to the relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{q} \varphi=i \operatorname{tg}^{2} \psi \partial_{q} \eta, \quad \partial_{\bar{q}} \varphi=-i \operatorname{tg}^{2} \psi \partial_{\bar{q}} \eta, \\
& \Lambda_{12}=e^{\eta+i \varphi}\left(\partial_{q} \psi-i \operatorname{ctg} \psi \partial_{q} \varphi\right), \quad \Lambda_{21}=e^{-\eta-i \varphi}\left(\partial_{q} \psi+i \operatorname{ctg} \psi \partial_{q} \varphi\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, the first formula in (5.16) implies that

$$
\frac{\partial C_{1}}{\partial \bar{q}}=-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos ^{2} \psi & e^{\eta+i \varphi} \cos \psi \sin \psi  \tag{5.18}\\
e^{-\eta-i \varphi} \cos \psi \sin \psi & -\cos ^{2} \psi
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The off-diagonal part of this relation leads to a system of coupled differential equations,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{q \bar{q}} \psi+\frac{\cos \psi}{\sin ^{3} \psi} \partial_{q} \varphi \partial_{\bar{q}} \varphi+\sin \psi \cos \psi=0, \\
\partial_{q \bar{q}} \varphi=\frac{1}{\sin \psi \cos \psi}\left(\partial_{q} \varphi \partial_{\bar{q}} \psi+\partial_{\bar{q}} \varphi \partial_{q} \psi\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Finally, the formula (5.17) and the diagonal part of (5.18) give the second logarithmic derivatives of the $\tau$-function:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{q \bar{q}} \ln \tau=\cos ^{2} \psi  \tag{5.19}\\
\partial_{q q} \ln \tau=\left(\partial_{q} \psi\right)^{2}+\operatorname{ctg}^{2} \psi\left(\partial_{q} \varphi\right)^{2}, \\
\partial_{\bar{q} \bar{q}} \ln \tau=\left(\partial_{\bar{q}} \psi\right)^{2}+\operatorname{ctg}^{2} \psi\left(\partial_{\bar{q}} \varphi\right)^{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

## 6. Discussion

When one tries to generalize the above theory, a natural question arises: if it is possible to develop the theory of monodromy preserving deformations for the massive Dirac operator on the arbitrary two-dimensional surface $M$ with a metric? It appears that $M$ should be then a homogeneous space for a group $G$, acting on $M$ by isometries. There are only five such surfaces: plane ( $G=E(2)$ ), cylinder and torus ( $G=T^{2}$ ), Poincaré disk $(G=P S U(1,1))$ and the sphere $(G=P S U(2))$. The plane and hyperbolic disk were studied earlier by different authors (see references in the Introduction). The present paper is devoted to the cylindrical geometry. It is interesting to note that the derivation of all "implicit" results (factorized form of the derivatives of Green functions, deformation equations, etc.) can be transferred almost literally to the case of torus. What is even more important - the technical results, obtained in this work (namely, the formulae for the one-point projections in the Theorem 4.2) allow to calculate the $\tau$-functions on the torus explicitly. I hope to discuss these matters in greater detail elsewhere.

The second task is to give a proper formulation and solution of the problem in the quantum field theory language. Let us interpret the coordinate along the cylinder axis as time, with the space coordinate living on the circle. The time axis is split by the branchcuts $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}$ into $n+1$ intervals. The evolution in each interval is governed by the Dirac hamiltonian, which is diagonalized in the free-fermion basis. These free fermions, however, obey different periodicity conditions (statistics) in different intervals. Corresponding Fock spaces are intertwined by the monodromy fields, whose correlation functions can be written in terms of Lehmann expansion over intermediate eigenstates of the hamiltonians. (In the two-point case, this corresponds to the expansion of the determinant (4.25).) The problem transforms then into the calculation of form factors of monodromy fields in the finite volume.

Another important problem is the investigation of the ultraviolet $(m \rightarrow 0)$ asymptotics of the $\tau$-functions. On the plane, the connection between the Ising model and singular Dirac operators was already used in [11] to give a rigorous proof of the Luther-Peschel formula.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The proof is based on some technique from functional analysis and is very close to the proof of Theorem 3.2.4 in [17].

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Here $a$ denotes a single point, and not the collection $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$. I hope this abuse of notation will not confuse the reader.

